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Abstract

Feynman diagram calculations are generally extremely complicated. Yet there can be a hidden beauty. Here we discuss methods for uncovering this.

Some Review Articles:
Z. Bern, hep-ph/9304249
L. Dixon, hep-ph/9601359
Z. Bern, L. Dixon and D. Kosower, hep-ph/9602280
Z. Bern, gr-qc/0206071
Outline

- Motivation
  (a) “Industrial” calculations – collider physics program
  (b) Uncovering hidden properties of gauge and gravity theories
- Surprising structures
  (a) Simple formulas for sums of large numbers of Feynman diagrams
  (b) Gravity ∼ (gauge theory) × (gauge theory)
  (c) Curves in twistor space – link to topological string theory
- Tricks of the trade
  (a) Helicity
  (b) Supersymmetry
  (c) String theory ideas
  (d) Unitarity and analytic properties
  (e) Guessing
  (f) Twistor space – latest magic.
- Examples of amplitudes with arbitrary numbers of legs
Recall Edward Witten’s talk

Gauge theory scattering amplitudes ↔ topological string theory.

QCD Parke-Taylor helicity scattering amplitudes played a prominent role:

\[ A_n(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, \ldots, n^+) = i \frac{\langle 1 2 \rangle^4}{\langle 1 2 \rangle \langle 2 3 \rangle \cdots \langle n 1 \rangle} \]

Plenty of other known examples in gauge and gravity theories.

What magic tricks were used to obtain these?

Infinite numbers of Feynman diagrams summed.
Applications of Feynman diagrams to collider physics

The quest for precision

- Uncover deviations from the Standard Model.
- Match experimental precision.

From LEP: $\alpha_s = 0.121 \pm 0.001\text{(exp)} \pm 0.006\text{(theory)}$ \hspace{1cm} [Bethke (2000)]

- Need multi-leg scattering amplitudes because $\alpha_s$ is large (+ large logs).
- Constrain new physics: Higgs boson $M_H \leq 200 \text{ GeV} \ (95\% \text{ CL})$

As long as there are colliders we need to push the theoretical precision.

This talk is more about investigating the structure of field theory
Major Advance of Past Few Years

Generic two-loop computations involving more than 1 kinematic variable is a new art only a few years old.

Key to Progress

In the past few years the field of high loop computations has gotten a tremendous boost due to the influx of energetic bright young people.

Babis Anastasiou, Andrzej Czarnecki, Daniel de Florian, Thomas Gehrmann, Massimiliano Grazzini, Robert Harlander, Gudrun Heinrich, Bill Kilgore, Pierpaolo Mastrolia, Kirill Melnikov, Sven Moch, Zoltan Nagy, Carlo Oleari, Matthias Steinhauser, Peter Uwer, Doreen Wackeroth, Stefan Weinzierl, and many others

One major goal of the KITP collider program is to apply this breakthrough to improving theoretical precision at colliders.
Consider the five-gluon tree-level amplitude of QCD. Enters in calculation of multi-jet production at hadron colliders.

Described by following Feynman diagrams:

If you evaluate these using textbook methods you will only discover that this is a very disgusting mess.
Result of a brute force calculation (actually only a small part of it):

\[ k_1 \cdot k_4 \varepsilon_2 \cdot k_1 \varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon_3 \varepsilon_4 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \]
Vector polarizations

$$\varepsilon^+_{\mu}(k; q) = \frac{\langle q^- | \gamma_{\mu} | k^- \rangle}{\sqrt{2 \langle q \cdot k \rangle}}, \quad \varepsilon^-(k, q) = \frac{\langle q^+ | \gamma_{\mu} | k^+ \rangle}{\sqrt{2 \langle k \cdot q \rangle}}$$

More sophisticated version of circular polarization: $$\varepsilon^\pm_{\mu} = (0, 1, \pm i, 0)$$

All required properties of polarization vectors satisfied:

$$\varepsilon_i^2 = 0, \quad k \cdot \varepsilon(k, q) = 0, \quad \varepsilon^+ \cdot \varepsilon^- = -1$$

Notation

$$\varepsilon^{ab}_{\lambda j a \lambda l b} \longleftrightarrow \langle j \mid l \rangle = \langle k_j^- | k_l^+ \rangle = \sqrt{2 k_j \cdot k_l} \ e^{i\phi}$$

$$\varepsilon^{\hat{a} \hat{b}}_{\lambda j \lambda l} \longleftrightarrow [j \mid l \rangle = \langle k_j^+ | k_l^- \rangle = -\sqrt{2 k_j \cdot k_l} \ e^{-i\phi}$$

Changes in reference momentum $$q$$ are equivalent to gauge transformations.

Graviton polarization tensors are the squares of these!

$$\varepsilon^{++}_{\mu \nu} = \varepsilon^+_{\mu} \varepsilon^+_{\nu}, \quad 2 = 1 + 1$$
Five Gluon Results with Helicity

Following contains the complete physical content as the messy formula:

\[
A_5(1^\pm, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+, 5^+) = 0
\]

\[
A_5(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^+, 5^+) = i \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 51 \rangle}
\]

These are color stripped amplitudes.

\[
A_5(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = \sum_{\text{perms}} \text{Tr}(T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}T^{a_4}T^{a_5}) A_5(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^+, 5^+)
\]

Motivated by the Chan-Paton factors of open string theory. Mangano and Parke

Feynman diagrams scramble together kinematics and color.
Throughout this talk there will two general themes:

- Deeper theoretical understanding \rightarrow calculational improvements.
- Recycling is good!

Examples:

1. Helicity
2. Supersymmetry and applications to QCD
3. Recursive methods
4. Unitarity sewing method – quantum loops from trees
5. Gravity amplitudes from gauge theory ones
6. Twistor space – uncovers previously unknown important structure.
Parke and Taylor guessed the $n$-point maximally helicity violating (MHV) amplitudes:

$$A_n(1^\pm, 2^+, 3^+, \ldots, n^+) = 0$$

$$A_n(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^+, n^+) = i \frac{\langle 12\rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \cdots \langle n1 \rangle}$$

Tree amplitudes must satisfy very stringent properties.

Every pole corresponds to a propagating physical particle.

No multi-particle poles!

Collinear & soft singularities universal!
Berends-Giele Recursion Relations

Feynman diagram beg to be evaluated recursively

\[ J^\mu(1^-, 2^+, \ldots, n^+) = \sum_{i} \langle 1^- | \gamma^\mu P_{2,n} | 1^+ \rangle \sum_{m=3}^{n} \frac{\langle 1^- | k_m P_{1,m} | 1^+ \rangle}{\sqrt{2 \langle 12 \rangle \cdots \langle n 1 \rangle} \frac{P^2_{1,m-1} P^2_{1,m}}{P^2_{1,m-1} P^2_{1,m}}}, \]

\( J^\mu \) is the Berends-Giele current. For MHV can solve analytically!

Dotting with \( \varepsilon^- \) on the free leg and cleaning up gives:

\[ A_{n}^{\text{tree}}(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^+, \ldots, n^+) = i \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \cdots \langle n 1 \rangle} \]

Parke-Taylor amplitude is proven!

Infinite number of Feynman diagrams solved at once!
Some applications of recursive methods:

- Proof of Parke-Taylor formula
  Berends and Giele

- Amplitudes with three negative helicities
  Kosower

- Numerical evaluation of high point tree amplitudes
  Berends, Giele, Kuijf

- MHV gauge theory loop amplitudes
  Mangano et al

  Mahlon
Supersymmetry relates bosons and fermions.

Does susy exist in nature? Not yet known.

Susy teaches us important properties about amplitudes.

Difference between $N = 1$ super-Yang-Mills theory and QCD?

QCD Quarks: Fundamental color representation.

Gluinos: Adjoint color representation.

But we already saw: color can be stripped away.

\[
\begin{align*}
[Q(p), g^\pm(k)] &= \mp \Gamma^\pm(k, p) \tilde{g}^\pm(k), \\
[Q(p), \tilde{g}^\pm(k)] &= \mp \Gamma^{\mp}(k, p) g^\pm(p) \\
\langle 0 | [Q, g^- g^- \tilde{g}^+ g^+ g^+] | 0 \rangle &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

\[
A_5(1_g^-, 2_q^-, 3_{\tilde{q}}^+, 4_g^+, 5_g^+) = \frac{\langle 13 \rangle}{\langle 12 \rangle} A_5(1_g^-, 2_g^-, 3_g^+, 4_g^+, 5_g^+).
\]
Sample Susy Applications

- Relate gluon amplitudes to simpler scalar amplitudes \cite{ParkeTaylor1985}.
- Used to obtain 6 gluon non-MHV amplitudes from quark amplitudes back in the days when this was really tough\footnote{220 Feynman diagrams.} \cite{ZKunszt1985}.
- Check on 4-loop QCD $\beta$-function computed by van Ritbergen, Vermaseren and Larin \cite{JackJonesNorth1997}.
- Check on two-loop $gg \rightarrow gg$ QCD amplitudes \cite{ZBDeFreitasDixon2002}.

* Today, using the very latest “twistor space” wizardry you can do each helicity on the back of an envelope.
Gravity

Consider the gravity and Yang-Mills Lagrangians:

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{gravity}} = \sqrt{g} R, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} \]

Yang-Mills three vertex: \( V_{3\mu\nu\rho}^{abc}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = f^{abc} (k_1 - k_2)_{\rho\eta_{\mu\nu}} + \text{cyclic} \)

Compare to gravity

\[ G_{3\mu\alpha, \nu\beta, \sigma\gamma}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \]

\[
\text{sym} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} P_3(k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta_{\mu\alpha} \eta_{\nu\beta} \eta_{\sigma\gamma}) - \frac{1}{2} P_6(k_{1\nu} k_{1\beta} \eta_{\mu\alpha} \eta_{\sigma\gamma}) + \frac{1}{2} P_3(k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\sigma\gamma}) \\
+ P_6(k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta_{\mu\alpha} \eta_{\nu\sigma} \eta_{\beta\gamma}) + 2P_3(k_{1\nu} k_{1\gamma} \eta_{\mu\alpha} \eta_{\beta\sigma}) - P_3(k_{1\beta} k_{2\mu} \eta_{\alpha\nu} \eta_{\sigma\gamma}) \\
+ P_3(k_{1\sigma} k_{2\gamma} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\alpha\beta}) + P_6(k_{1\sigma} k_{1\gamma} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\alpha\beta}) + 2P_6(k_{1\nu} k_{2\gamma} \eta_{\beta\mu} \eta_{\alpha\sigma}) \\
+ 2P_3(k_{1\nu} k_{2\mu} \eta_{\beta\sigma} \eta_{\gamma\alpha}) - 2P_3(k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta_{\alpha\nu} \eta_{\beta\sigma} \eta_{\gamma\mu}) \right]
\]

Naive conclusions: (a) Gravity is an unholy mess and (b) perturbative expansions of two theories have little to do with each other.

But this can’t be true! String theory unifies gravity and gauge theory.
String Theory Intuition

Basic string theory fact:

\[
\text{closed string } \sim (\text{left-mover open string}) \\
\times (\text{right-mover open string})
\]

In the field theory or infinite string tension limit this should imply

\[
\text{gravity } \sim (\text{gauge theory}) \times (\text{gauge theory})
\]

1) How do we make this precise?
2) How can we exploit this?
3) How can this be understood from the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian?

W. Siegel hep-th/9308133; Z. Bern and A. Grant hep-th/9904026
Kawai-Lewellen-Tye Tree-Level Relations

At tree-level, KLT (1985) presented some remarkable relations between closed and open string amplitudes.

In the field theory limit \((\alpha' \rightarrow 0)\)

\[
M^\text{tree}_4(1, 2, 3, 4) = s_{12} A^\text{tree}_4(1, 2, 3, 4) A^\text{tree}_4(1, 2, 4, 3),
\]

\[
M^\text{tree}_5(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = s_{12} s_{34} A^\text{tree}_5(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) A^\text{tree}_5(2, 1, 4, 3, 5)
\]

\[
+ s_{13} s_{24} A^\text{tree}_5(1, 3, 2, 4, 5) A^\text{tree}_5(3, 1, 4, 2, 5)
\]

where we have stripped all coupling constants. \(M_n\) is gravity amplitude and \(A_n\) is color stripped gauge theory amplitude.

\[
A^\text{tree}_4 = g^2 \sum_{\text{non-cyclic}} \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} T^{a_3} T^{a_4}) A^\text{tree}_4(1, 2, 3, 4)
\]

These relations hold for any external string states.

Explicit all \(n\) formula: hep-th/9811140 Appendix A

Also holds for classes of higher dimension operators. Niels Emil Bjerrum-Bohr

hep-th/0302131, hep-th/0305062
\[
M_4^{\text{tree}} (1_h^-, 2_h^-, 3_h^+, 4_h^+) = \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} \right)^2 s_{12} A_4^{\text{tree}} (1_g^-, 2_g^-, 3_g^+, 4_g^+) \times A_4^{\text{tree}} (1_g^-, 2_g^-, 4_g^+, 3_g^+)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} \right)^2 s_{12} \frac{\langle 1\ 2 \rangle^4}{\langle 1\ 2 \rangle \langle 2\ 3 \rangle \langle 3\ 4 \rangle \langle 4\ 1 \rangle} \times \frac{\langle 1\ 2 \rangle^4}{\langle 1\ 2 \rangle \langle 2\ 4 \rangle \langle 4\ 3 \rangle \langle 3\ 1 \rangle}
\]

\[
M_4^{\text{tree}} (1_g^-, 2_g^-, 3_g^+, 4_h^+) = g \frac{\kappa}{2} s_{12} A_4^{\text{tree}} (1_g^-, 2_g^-, 3_g^+, 4_g^+) \times A_4^{\text{tree}} (1_s^I, 2_s^J, 4_g^+, 3_s^K)
\]

\[
= g \frac{\kappa}{2} s_{12} \frac{\langle 1\ 2 \rangle^4}{\langle 1\ 2 \rangle \langle 2\ 3 \rangle \langle 3\ 4 \rangle \langle 4\ 1 \rangle} \times f_{IJK}^{\text{tree}} [4\ 3] \langle 3\ 2 \rangle \langle 2\ 4 \rangle
\]
All $n$ generalizations

We already know the maximal helicity violation (MHV) pure gluon tree of QCD:

$$A_n(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, \ldots, n^+) = i \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \cdots \langle n1 \rangle}$$

Gravity obtained by pushing above through KLT formulae. After cleaning up:

$$M_n^{\text{tree}}(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, \ldots, n^+) = -i \langle 12 \rangle^8 \left[ \frac{[12] [n-2 \ n-1]}{\langle 1 \ n-1 \rangle \ N(n)} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \prod_{j=i+2}^{n-1} \langle i \ j \rangle \right) \prod_{l=3}^{n-3} \left( -\langle n^- | K_{l+1, n-1} l^- \rangle \right) + \text{Perms} \right] ,$$

where $N(n) = \prod_{i<n} \langle i \ j \rangle$

Same idea works for gravity coupled to matter.

**Key idea:** If you know a gauge theory tree amplitude, you immediately know corresponding gravity amplitudes!
State of the Art at One Loop

Five point is state of the art for generic calculations. \textit{e.g.,} $pp \rightarrow \bar{t}tH$.

At 6 points complete answers only for very special theories: $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills and the Yukawa Model.

Arbitrary numbers of legs worked out in QCD, susy gauge theories and also in gravity theories, but limited to MHV helicity configurations.

Here I discuss mainly methods used to obtain arbitrary numbers of legs.
What can string theory teach us about loop level Feynman diagrams?

Every order of string perturbation theory has only one string diagram.

479 Feynman diagrams for $gg \rightarrow ggg$ with no apparent simple relation between diagrams.

Led to ‘string-based’ calculational rules which were used for first one-loop five point calculation: $gg \rightarrow ggg$.

- Application of helicity at loop level and 5 pt structure
- One-loop color decomposition
- Supersymmetry decompositions of loops.
  QCD = susy + non-susy.
- Non-linear gauges
- Gravity $\sim$ (gauge theory) $\times$ (gauge theory) at loops.
- Points to arbitrary numbers of legs.
Amplitudes via Unitarity

Basic property: The scattering matrix is unitary: \( S^\dagger S = 1 \).

We will use this well known property of the \( S \)-matrix to obtain all quantum corrections.

Taking \( S = 1 + iT \) gives

\[
2 \text{Im} \ T = T^\dagger T \quad \text{or} \quad 2 \text{Im} \quad \includegraphics{diagram1} = \int_{\text{dLIPS}} \quad \includegraphics{diagram2}
\]

To maintain gauge invariance, sum over all Feynman diagrams on either side of the cut.

From unitarity we can obtain the imaginary parts of loop amplitudes from tree amplitudes.
To obtain the complete quantum $S$-matrix we also need real parts, especially rational functions.

Generic form of a loop amplitude:

$$A \sim \ln(-s - i\epsilon) + \text{rational} + \text{other logs}$$

$$\sim \ln(s) - i\pi + \text{rational} + \text{other logs}$$

The $i\pi$ term is fixed by unitarity and the $\ln(s)$ can be reconstructed from this.

However rational terms seemingly can’t be reconstructed.

Problem seems basic. Consider complex function

$$a(\ln(s) - i\pi) + b$$

You can get $a$ from imaginary part but not $b$.

**Trick:** Use analytic properties as a functions of space-time dimension!
Consider:

\[ A_{4}^{1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+) = \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \]

Has no imaginary part! How do we construct real rational parts from nothing?

**Magic Trick:** Continue the amplitude to \( D = 4 - 2\epsilon \) dimensions.

From dimensional analysis in massless theories:

\[ A^{D=4-2\epsilon} \sim \int d^{4-2\epsilon} p \cdots \sim \sum_{i} (s_{i})^{-\epsilon} \times \text{rational}_i + \cdots \]

\[ \sim \sum_{i} \text{rational}_i (1 - \epsilon \ln s_{i}) + \cdots \]

Thus:

\[ \text{rational} = \sum_{i} \text{rational}_i \]

From \( \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \) branch cuts can reconstruct \( \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0) \) rational terms.
Consider cuts of maximally helicity violating one-loop amplitudes.

The tree-level Parke-Taylor amplitudes for \( n \) gluons have a remarkable property:

\[
A^{\text{tree}}(\ell^+_1, m^+_1, \ldots, k^-, \ldots, j^-, \ldots, m^+_2, \ell^+_2) = \frac{\langle k j \rangle^4}{\langle \ell_1 m_1 \rangle \langle m_1, m_1 + 1 \rangle \cdots \langle m_2 - 1, m_2 \rangle \langle m_2 \ell_2 \rangle \langle \ell_1 \ell_1 \rangle}
\]

Only 2 denominators in each tree have non-trivial dependence on loop momentum.

Together with 2 cut propagators the 4 denominators from the trees give at worst a hexagon integral (which simplifies easily in susy cases).
At one loop calculated:

- All MHV amplitudes in maximal $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory.
- All MHV amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super-Yang-Mills.
- All helicities for maximal $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills at six-points.

Comments on QCD:

For QCD amplitudes, using Parke-Taylor amplitudes does not quite work.

Must be careful about $D$-dimensional momenta.

In susy theories it’s OK because of better UV properties: $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$. If you make $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ error it’s OK as long as you don’t hit $1/\epsilon$.

In QCD we calculated up to six-point allowing for an all-$n$ guess, proven by Mahlon.
To obtain $n$-point gravity loops we combine the ideas.

At one-loop $n$-points:

- $N = 8$ maximally susy gravity MHV amplitudes
  Bern, Dixon, Perelstein, Rozowsky
- Identical helicity Einstein gravity with any matter ($n \leq 6$). Rest were guessed.

At $n$-loops:

- Maximally susy gravity is less divergent in the UV than previously thought.
Guessing answers

Consider the one-loop identical helicity \( n \)-photon amplitude of massless QED.

Can we guess the answer?

- Tree amplitude vanishes (photons don’t couple to photons)
- The answer can have no logarithms. \( D = 4 \) unitarity cuts vanish.
- Dimension of amplitudes \( \sim p^{4-n} \).

\[
\sim \frac{1}{\langle a_1 a_2 \rangle^{n-4}}
\]

- There can be no kinematic poles in the answer.

What rational function with dimension \( p^{4-n} \) has no kinematic poles?
If you guessed \( A_{n\gamma}(1^+, 2^+, \ldots, n^+) = 0 \) \((n > 4)\)

You are right!

For other helicities not so simple because easy to find combinations of logs and dilogs which vanish in factorization limits.

But it demonstrates the power of understanding the analytic properties of scattering amplitudes.

How about a more complicated example? Try 1 loop gravity.
The one-loop all-plus helicity $n$-graviton amplitude of Einstein gravity was constructed by guessing.  

**Key:** Universal soft graviton emission $\rightarrow$ analytic properties.

\[
M_{n}^{1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, \ldots, n^+) = -i \frac{N_s}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \cdot 240 \sum_{b > a} h(a, P, b) h(b, Q, a) \text{tr}^3 [k_a \not{P} \not{k}_b Q]
\]

where

\[
h(a, \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}, b) \equiv (-1)^n \frac{[12]}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \cdots \langle n - 1, n \rangle} \frac{1}{\langle a^- | (1 + 2) | 3^- \rangle \cdots \langle a^- | P_{1,n-1} | n^- \rangle} \times \frac{\langle 1 b \rangle \langle 1 a \rangle \langle 2 a \rangle \cdots \langle n - 1, a \rangle \langle n a \rangle \langle n b \rangle}{\langle 1 a \rangle \langle 2 a \rangle \cdots \langle n - 1, a \rangle \langle n a \rangle \langle n b \rangle} + \text{Perms}
\]

The $h$ function has simple properties as a graviton momentum becomes soft, $k_i \rightarrow 0$. $N_s$ counts the number of bosonic minus fermionic states.
The ansatz has been proven for up to six external legs using unitarity methods.

Problem with guessing is that it only works in special cases.
In a recent paper Witten showed that after Fourier transform to twistor space points lie on curves and a link made to a topological string theory.

\[ \tilde{A}(\lambda_i, \mu_i) = \int \prod_i \frac{d^2 \tilde{\lambda}_i}{(2\pi)^2} \exp\left( \sum_j \mu_j^a \tilde{\lambda}_j^{a} \right) A(\lambda_i, \tilde{\lambda}_i) \]

Explicit link to the topological \( B \) model – explicit calculations

But can it help us calculate better? Decisively, Yes!

Consider non-MHV amplitudes from 220 diagrams

\[ A_6 = 8g^4 \left[ \frac{\alpha^2}{t_{123}s_{12}s_{23}s_{45}s_{56}} + \frac{\beta^2}{t_{234}s_{23}s_{34}s_{56}s_{61}} + \frac{\gamma^2}{t_{345}s_{34}s_{45}s_{61}s_{12}} + \frac{t_{123}\beta\gamma + t_{234}\gamma\alpha + t_{345}\alpha\beta}{s_{12}s_{23}s_{34}s_{45}s_{56}s_{61}} \right] \]

e.g. for \( A_6(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^-, 5^-, 6^-) \)

\[ \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = [23] \langle 56 \rangle \langle 1 | \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3 | 4 \rangle, \quad \gamma = [12] \langle 45 \rangle \langle 3 | \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 | 6 \rangle \]

It sure doesn’t look simple! Hidden structure uncovered in twistor space.
The simple structure of the curves in twistor space for non-MHV amplitudes implies that there must be a way to express non-MHV in terms of MHV. (For details wait for the paper.)

Here I want to show you practical consequences of this observation:

Continue spinor off-shell \((P^2 \neq 0)\):

\[
\left\langle j \ P \right\rangle = \eta \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\langle j \ k \right\rangle [k \ q]
\]

where \(P = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_n\) and \(q\) auxiliary, satisfying \(q^2 = 0\).

Use this to define an off-shell “MHV vertex”

\[
V(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, \ldots, n^+, P^+) = \frac{\langle 1\ 2 \rangle^4}{\langle 1\ 2 \rangle \cdots \langle n-1, \ n \rangle \langle n\ P \rangle \langle P\ 1 \rangle}
\]

Build non-MHV amplitudes by sewing together MHV vertices.
\[ A_6(1^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5^+, 6^+) = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^3}{\langle 56 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle \langle 2| 5 + 6 + 1 | q \rangle \langle 5| 6 + 1 + 2 | q \rangle} \times \frac{1}{s_{34}} \times \frac{\langle 34 | 4 | q \rangle^3}{\langle 34 \rangle \langle 4 | 3 | q \rangle} \]

\[ + \frac{\langle 14 + 5 + 6 | q \rangle^3}{\langle 45 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle \langle 4| 5 + 6 + 1 | q \rangle} \times \frac{1}{s_{23}} \times \frac{\langle 23 \rangle^3}{\langle 32 \rangle \langle 2 | 3 | q \rangle} \]

\[ + \frac{\langle 34 + 5 + 6 | q \rangle^3}{\langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 6| 3 + 4 + 5 | q \rangle} \times \frac{1}{s_{12}} \times \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^3}{\langle 21 \rangle \langle 1 | 2 | q \rangle} \]

\[ + \frac{\langle 23 \rangle^3}{\langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 5| 2 + 3 + 4 | q \rangle \langle 2| 3 + 4 + 5 | q \rangle} \times \frac{1}{s_{61}} \times \frac{\langle 16 | q \rangle^3}{\langle 61 \rangle \langle 6 | 1 | q \rangle} \]

\[ + \frac{\langle 15 + 6 | q \rangle^3}{\langle 56 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle \langle 5| 6 + 1 | q \rangle} \times \frac{1}{s_{561}} \times \frac{\langle 23 \rangle^3}{\langle 34 \rangle \langle 4 | 2 + 3 | q \rangle \langle 2| 3 + 4 | q \rangle} \]

\[ + \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^3}{\langle 61 \rangle \langle 2| 6 + 1 | q \rangle \langle 6| 1 + 2 | q \rangle} \times \frac{1}{s_{612}} \times \frac{\langle 34 + 5 | q \rangle^3}{\langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 5| 3 + 4 | q \rangle} \]

\[ \langle 1 | 2 + 3 | 4 \rangle \equiv \langle 1^- | \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3 | 4^- \rangle \]

\[ q \ \text{arbitrary but null} \]
Trivial to generalize to $n$-points. Consider 7 point case

For $- - - + + + + \cdots +$ number of diagrams grow as $2(n - 3)$.

It will be very interesting to explore the full consequences.
Summary

- Amplitudes with arbitrary numbers of legs – hidden dualities and symmetries.
- Gravity ∼ (gauge theory) × (gauge theory).
- Recycling is good.
- Deeper theoretical understanding → more efficient calculation – twistor space is the latest example.
- There is clearly much more structure to uncover in gauge theory and gravity perturbative expansions.