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Outline and motivation

• The CME from different views
  • Solar limb vs. solar disk
  • Dependence on plasma observables (e.g. T, \(N_e\))

• Observations of twist
  • Apparent twist in coronal plasma associated with CMEs
  • Corona nearly a perfect conductor =&gt; flux freezing
  • Coronal magnetic field is not directly/comprehensively observed
  • Plasma observations &lt;-models-&gt; magnetic structures

• CME models
  • Magnetic field topology: sigmoids, slinkies, and spheromaks?
  • Full MHD model (Gibson and Low, 1998)

• Conclusions
  • Potential implications of twist for CME energetics and prediction
  • Models allow a 3-D deconstruction of observations
Coronal Mass Ejections

- Episodic expulsions of plasma $\approx 10^{15}$ grams each

Coronal Mass Ejections

- Affect the Earth: geomagnetic storms

Solar blast seen cradle to grave

By Tim Friend
USA TODAY

For the first time, scientists have recorded a massive burst of energy as it erupted from the sun, swept across the solar system and slammed into the magnetic shield that surrounds Earth, NASA said Wednesday.

The explosive release of solar energy known as a coronal mass ejection occurred Jan. 7 and traveled 1 million mph to the Earth as a magnetic cloud, growing to 30 million miles deep by the time it struck Jan. 10.

"What made this event so remarkable is that we got to see it as it was born, it came directly toward the Earth and we could observe it several days before it approached," said solar physicist Barbara Thompson of the International Solar Terrestrial Physics Program (ISTP).

The eruption knocked out communications in Antarctica but had no other confirmed serious effects.

Solar storms can cause large-scale power blackouts, interfere with sensitive military radar and disrupt global satellite systems and telecommunications.

Detection and tracking of the solar storm was made with the ISTP's sun-observing SOHO, WIND and POLO spacecraft. By the time the energy hit Earth, a total of 20 satellites and 12 countries had been watching.

Robert Hoffman, POLAR project physicist, says the successful observation marks the beginning of an era in which the scientists can predict when raging solar storms will strike the Earth and their effects.

The sun is now in a quiet period, but Hoffman says over the next five years an increasing number of solar eruptions with much greater force are expected.
White light CMEs

- 3-Part structure

White light CMEs

- U-shape
White light CMEs

• Halo

CMEs (and associated phenomena) in emission

• “Cold” emission ~ $10^4$ K -- H-alpha): prominence eruption
..or absorption
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CMEs (and associated phenomena) in emission

- Hot emission (~ $10^6$ K -- FeXII): Dimming

CMEs (and associated phenomena) in emission

- Hot emission (~ $10^6$ K -- FeXII): “EIT” waves
CMEs (and associated phenomena) in emission

- Hotter emission
  (~ 3-4 X 10^6 K -- X-ray):
  dimmings, S-shaped “sigmoid”

CMEs have a complex, 3D density and temperature structure.

3D complexity is well illustrated by twisted structures associated with CMES

Sarah Gibson, NCAR
Apparent twist in white light CME core

Apparent braided type structure seen in filament (projected on solar disk)
Sigmoids

• What are they?
  – S-shaped structures, most easily visible in X-rays
  – Can last for days, and disappear and reform multiple times

[Image of a sigmoid structure in X-rays]

• How are they related to CMEs?
  – Statistically shown to be more likely to erupt than non-sigmoidal active regions

[Graph showing the number of sigmoids and non-sigmoids in different spot areas]

(Canfield et al, GRL, 26, 6, 627, 1999)
Sigmoidoids

• S-shape --> cusp-shape in X-rays, sometimes followed by Halo CMEs in white light

How are they related to filament/prominence eruptions?
  – Sigmoidal filaments sometimes visible, roughly coaligned with X-ray sigmoid, above magnetic neutral line

Could filaments be better indicators of sigmoidicity than X-ray?
Coronal mass ejection (ITP Black Holes Conference 2/25/02)

Sigmoid

- Are sigmoids good for predicting Earth-impacting events?
  - Must go off at right time and right place
  - Must be geo-effective ==> e.g. southward direction of field
  - Limited, but potentially useful space-weather prediction tool

Regardless of whether sigmoids are good forecast tools, they are important physical clues to the twisted nature of the CME and its precursor.

...but be careful of how you choose a name...
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Categories  Web Sites  Web Pages  Related News  Net Events

Web Pages (1-20 of 3679)

- Tracking a Sigmoid January 16, 1993 with SXT - Tracking a Sigmoid January 16, 1993 Jan 14, 15, 16
  Yorkhoh mpg movie or anim.gif note bottom left & alternate color table
  Resources: SXT Jan 16 Movies Standard Color Table (500K anim.gif or 28K mpg) Alternate
  Color Table (450K anim.gif).
  --http://solar.physics.montana.edu/press/16Jan93

- Colonic Removal of a 'Pop-Up Meat Thermometer' from the Sigmoid Colon - Colonic Removal
  of a 'Pop-Up Meat Thermometer' from the Sigmoid Colon by R. G. Norfleet and G. Skerven and
  H. T. Chatterton @article[NorSkeChaUNKNa, author = R. G. Norfleet and G. Skerven and H. T.
  Chatterton], journal = [{Journal of Clinical...}
  --http://www.cs.uq.edu.au/~boff/Bib/NorSkeChaUNKNa.html
Theoretical description of CMEs

Need to solve ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations in order to self-consistently describe the magnetic field and its interaction with the coronal plasma.

\[
\rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\rho \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla p - \rho \frac{GM}{r^2} \mathbf{r}, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (2)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}), \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (p \rho^{-\gamma}) + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)(p \rho^{-\gamma}) = 0, \quad (4)
\]

Model complexity must be sufficient to reproduce the essential observational complexities.
CME-type phenomena associated with accretion disks?

Figure 1 from Hayashi et al, ApJ, 1996.

Numerical simulation of sheared magnetic loops connecting a protostar and its disk. The color scale shows the temperature, solid curves denote magnetic field lines. The region of closely spaced field lines is included to identify the reconnection region in the subsequent frames. Arrows depict velocity vectors in the \( r-z \) plane. The unit velocity is shown by arrows at the top right of each panel.


QuickTime™ and a BMP decompressor are needed to see this picture.
**Magnetic field models**

- Prominence mass could be supported by dips in magnetic arcades
- Magnetic flux rope (slinky) preferable to us for several reasons:
  - Bottoms of the winds - stable place for mass to collect
  - Matches observed "inverse" magnetic field configuration easily
  - Prominence/CME cavity observed to have sharp boundary, modeled by flux rope boundary
  - Twisted structures are observed, and theoretically expected because of conservation of helicity (related to twist)

Slinky-type flux ropes have been used to model emerging magnetic flux, prominences, CMEs, and interplanetary magnetic clouds.

**Prominence/filament magnetic field**

Normal vs. inverse field geometry:
Slinky-type flux ropes have been used to model emerging magnetic flux, prominences, CMEs, and interplanetary magnetic clouds.

**Spheromaks**

Spherical, closed magnetic system containing comparable toroidal and poloidal magnetic fields generated by currents within the structure

Why do we use them to model CMEs?

- Circular cross-section: CME observations don't support linear-type structure (*Fisher&Munro, 1984; Webb, 1988; Thompson et al, 1999*)
- Vector magnetogram observations of emerging field orientation and rotation well captured by spheromak model (*Lites et al, 1995*)
- Spheromak model solution yields plasma distributions satisfying a range of observed prominence structures and always yields a bubble-type cavity

Spheromaks represent the spheroidal nature of CMEs better than a linear slinky.
**What are spheromaks?**

Spherical, closed magnetic system containing comparable toroidal and poloidal magnetic fields generated by currents within the structure

Images from Cantarella et al., 1999 --- standard model of crab nebula, (Woltjer, 1958):

---

**MHD Model: Gibson and Low (1998)**

Interior (spheromak) solution (Prendergast, 1956; Woltjer, 1958; Lites et al., 1996):

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{b}_{\text{int}} &= \frac{1}{r' \sin \theta'} \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta'} r' - \frac{\partial A}{\partial r'} \theta' + \alpha_0 A \phi' \right), \\
A &= \frac{4\pi a_1}{a_0^2} \left[ \frac{r_0^2}{g(a_0 r')} \left( g(a_0 r') - r'^2 \right) \sin^2 \theta' \right], \\
g(a_0 r') &= \frac{\sin (a_0 r')}{a_0 r'} - \cos (a_0 r'), \\
J_{3/2}(a_0 r_0) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]
**MHD Model: Gibson and Low (1998)**

External solution: split monopole with offset spherical flux surface:

$$b_{\text{ext}} = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial r} \right)$$

$$A = \Psi_0 + \Psi_1 + \Psi_2$$

$$\Psi_0 = \cos \theta$$

$$\Psi_1 = -\frac{1}{r_0} \frac{r_1(r_1^2 + r_1^2 - r_0^2) + r \cos \theta(r_0^2 - 2r_1^2)}{[(r_0^2 - r_1^2)^2 + r_1^2 r_1^2 + 2rr_1(r_0^2 - r_1^2) \cos \theta]^{1/2}}$$

$$\Psi_2 = \frac{1}{r_0} (r^2 + r_1^2 - 2rr_1 \cos \theta)^{1/2}$$

"Spheromak" field (e.g. magnetized star (Prendergast, 1956); standard model of crab nebula, (Woltjer, 1958); Delta sunspot (Lites et al, 1996):"
**MHD Model: Gibson and Low (1998)**

- Spheromak-type field, radially stretched and tethered to solar origin
- Axisymmetry is broken, gravity is explicitly included

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla \times \mathbf{b}) \times \mathbf{b} - \nabla \Pi = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \quad \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{k} r + a, \quad \frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla p - \rho F(r) \hat{r} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0.
\]

\[
B_{\phi}(r, \theta, \phi) = \left(\frac{\Lambda}{r}\right)^2 b_\phi(\Lambda, \theta, \phi),
\]

\[
B_\phi(r, \theta, \phi) = \frac{\Lambda}{r} \frac{\partial b_\phi}{\partial r}(\Lambda, \theta, \phi),
\]

\[
B_\phi(r, \theta, \phi) = \frac{\Lambda}{r} \frac{\partial b_\phi}{\partial r}(\Lambda, \theta, \phi).
\]

\[
\Pi = a_1 A.
\]

\[
p = \frac{\Lambda^2}{r^2} \left( k^2 - \frac{\Lambda^2}{r^2} \right) \frac{b_\phi^2}{8\pi} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{r^2} k^2 \Pi.
\]

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ -\Lambda^2 \left( k^2 - \frac{\Lambda^2}{r^2} \right) \frac{d}{d\Lambda} \left( \Pi + \frac{b_\phi^2}{8\pi} \right) + 2 \Lambda \frac{d\Lambda}{r} \left( k^2 - \frac{\Lambda^2}{r^2} \right) b_\phi^2 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{r^2} \left[ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2a_1}{r} \right] \left( \frac{b_\phi^2}{8\pi} \right) \right].
\]
**MHD Model: Gibson and Low (1998)**

- Spheromak-type field, radially stretched and tethered to solar origin
- Axisymmetry is broken, gravity is explicitly included
- "New" slinky-type feature suspended above imposed photosphere --> prominence!
- Describes self-similar expansion of CME moving radially outwards

Our model is a 3D, analytic solution satisfying time-dependent ideal MHD equations exactly for a CME expanding out into the corona.
MHD Model Results

Lites and Low, 1996

Model magnetic field structure:
filaments/prominences

- "Two-flux" system - "axial flux rope" (twisted) and "simple bipole loops"
- Path-length of axial flux rope much longer than that of simple bipole loops
- Identify filament as mass-bearing portion of longest (most wound) portion of loop
Field structure chirality classification

(Martin et al.)

Model field: Dextral, right bearing, negative helicity

MHD Model predictions at the limb (white light)

SMM CME observed Aug 18, 1980, Gibson & Low model CME, viewed along CME toroidal axis
SMM CME observed March 15, 1980, Gibson & Low model CME,
viewed perpendicular CME toroidal axis
**On-disk behavior - comparison to emission observations**

(Gibson et al., 1999; Gibson and Low, 2000)

### Observations

![Observations](image)

### Model (at coronal base)

Density | Magnetic field | X-ray emission

---

**What causes X-ray sigmoids?**

In other words -- why is that particular part of the magnetic field heated?

- Dynamical evolution of magnetized fluid --> tangential magnetic discontinuities (current sheets) --> reconnection and dissipative heating (*Parker, 1994*)

- Can occur not only at magnetic null points but also along separatrices between topologically distinct flux regions (*Titov and Demoulin, 1999; Low, 2001*)
TRACE:
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What causes X-ray sigmoids?

• Case of special interest: separatrices arising from imposition of photospheric boundary
What causes X-ray sigmoids?

- Photospheric induced separatrix could arise between winding vs. non-winding field lines

Geometric solution (Low, 2001)

\[
\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( r \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) + Q \phi \right]
\]

\[
A = r^2 - 2 \lambda r \sin \theta
\]

\[
Q = \lambda \phi
\]
Equilibrium solution

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla p = 0,
\]
\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0.
\]
\[
B = \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \right] = \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r \sin^2(\theta) \phi) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (r \sin^2(\theta) \phi) \right].
\]

\[
A_{\text{ext}} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_i (r - r_0)^2 - \frac{2\gamma_i}{r} \rho r^2 (r_0^2 - r_0^2 \sin^2(\theta))
\]
\[
Q_{\text{ext}} = Q_t^2 - 2\gamma_i A
\]
\[
p_{\text{ext}} = p_0 A
\]

- Spheroidal, blends smoothly with external field
- 3-D (axisymmetric) exact solution of MHD equations
- Can be easily extended to break symmetry and include gravity and time-dependence
- Work in progress
Conclusions

- Our analytic, time-dependent, self-consistent MHD model of a CME is unique in explicitly determining a plasma/field configuration that captures a range of observations from multiple viewing angles, and utilizes a truly 3D, twisted magnetic field structure.

- The 3D density structure from this model can be used for the interpretation and deconstruction of observations of CMEs along multiple lines of sights.

Why do we care if the CME is twisted?

- CME plasma ↔ CME magnetic fields
- Energy stored in magnetic twist (helicity)
- Highly twisted CME precursor just needs a trigger
- CME carries magnetic flux and helicity with it
- CMEs - workhorses for solar cycle field reversal?
Magnetic twist matters:

- Global organizational principle - is the Sun labelling its North and South poles?

Understanding the origin, evolution, and removal of magnetic twist is fundamental to understanding the Sun, with relevance from the solar interior all the way out to the Earth.