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## $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$-twisted b.c. for compactified QFT

Adiabatic continuity conjecture: Vacuum structure \& $Z_{N}$ symmetry persists during $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$-twisted compactification

- Fractional instantons cause transition among classical $N$-minima
- makes $Z_{N}$ stable, leading to volume indep. of vacuum structure
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## Prospects and Known facts

- Resurgent structure on $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}-1} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ may continue to $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$
- Weak-cplng confinement may be connected to strong-cplng one Unsal (07)
- Adiabatic continuity in 2D sigma model Sulejmanpasic (16) Tanizaki,TM, Sakai (17)
l'll discuss the conjecture and the resurgent structure with $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$-twist in 2D by using a couple of tools (I)semiclassics, (2)anomaly matching, (3)lattice.
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# I. Resurgence and bions in $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ models 

Dunne, Unsal (12),TM, Nitta, Sakai (14-16) Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai(I6-I8)
(For SUSY case, see also Dorigoni, Glass (I7))

## $\mathrm{CP}^{1}$ sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

- $\mathrm{CP}^{1}$ model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{g^{2}} \frac{\left|\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right|^{2}}{\left(1+|\varphi|^{2}\right)^{2}}+\mathcal{L}_{F}
$$

asymptotically-free theory

- $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ twisted boundary condition


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(y+L)=e^{i m L} \varphi(y) \quad(m=\pi / L) \rightarrow \text { exact } \mathrm{Z}_{2} \text { symmetry } \\
& \rightarrow \text { Fractional instantons }\left(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{I} / 2, \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{I}} / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## $\mathrm{CP}^{1}$ sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

- CP $^{1}$ quantum mechanics ( $\varepsilon=1$ : SUSY)

$$
L=\frac{1}{g^{2}} G\left[\partial_{t} \varphi \partial_{t} \bar{\varphi}-m^{2} \varphi \bar{\varphi}+i \bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}_{t} \psi+\epsilon m\left(1+\varphi \partial_{\varphi} \log G\right) \bar{\psi} \psi\right] \quad G=\frac{1}{\left(1+|\varphi|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

- Ground-state effective bosonic theory (fermion \# projection)

\[

\]

- Two local minima


North and south poles

- Instanton solution for $\varepsilon=0$

$$
S_{I}=\frac{m}{g^{2}}
$$

Tunneling effect between two minima


## Real bion solutions

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai(I6)


Moduli parameters are $\tau_{0}$ : position $\phi_{0}$ : phase


## Complex bion solution

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai(I6)


## Multi-bion solution

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai(I7)

## Multi-bion solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi=e^{i \phi_{c}} \frac{f\left(\tau-\tau_{c}\right)}{\sin ^{2} \alpha}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}=e^{-i \phi_{c}} \frac{f\left(\tau-\tau_{c}\right)}{\sin ^{2} \alpha}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { • classified by integers }(p, q) \\
& \\
& f(\tau)=\operatorname{cs}(\Omega \tau \text { is the number of bions } \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
f(\tau)=\operatorname{cs}(\Omega \tau, k) \equiv \operatorname{cn}(\Omega \tau, k) / \operatorname{sn}(\Omega \tau, k)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \approx p S_{\text {bion }}+2 \pi i \epsilon l \\
& S_{\text {bion }}=\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}+2 \epsilon \log \frac{\omega+m}{\omega-m}
\end{aligned}
$$

- An infinite tower of multi-bion solutions
- Contributions are calculated by (multi) quasi-moduli integral
- Contributions from real and complex bions cancel for SUSY


## Quasi-moduli integral

Behtash, Dunne, Schafer, Sulejmanpasic, Unsal (I5) See also Aoyama, Kikuchi (92) for Valley method

Quasi moduli parameters (Nearly massless modes)
$=$ kink distance $\tau_{r}$ \& relative phase $\phi_{r}$
Existence of these modes means I-loop det. is not enough...
Contributions from real and complex bions

$$
\frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{0}} \approx \int d \tau_{r} d \phi_{r} \exp \left[-V_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(\tau_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)\right]
$$

Effective potential in quasi-moduli space

$$
V_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(\tau_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)=-\frac{4 m}{g^{2}} \cos \phi_{r} e^{-m \tau_{r}}+2 \epsilon m \tau_{r}
$$

## Lefschetz Thimble integral

Relation of thimbles and resurgence is well explained in
Cherman, Dorigoni, Dunne, Unsal (13) Cherman, Dorigoni, Unsal (I4)

- Thimble decomposition

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}=\sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma} \mathcal{J}_{\sigma}
$$

$n_{\sigma}=\left\langle\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}\right\rangle$ intersection number
$\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ : upward flow $\rightarrow$ Thimble
$\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}$ : downward flow $\rightarrow$ Dual thimble

- 4D space of complex parameters

$$
\left(\tau_{r}, \phi_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

- (Dual) thimble : 2D surface

3D projected space of
4D space $\left(\tau_{r}, \phi_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$
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$n_{\sigma}=\left\langle\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}\right\rangle$ intersection number
$\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ : upward flow $\rightarrow$ Thimble
$\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}$ : downward flow $\rightarrow$ Dual thimble

- 4D space of complex parameters

$$
\left(\tau_{r}, \phi_{r}\right) \in \circlearrowleft^{2}
$$

- (Dual) thimble : 2D surface


$$
\theta=\arg \left[g^{2}\right]<0
$$

## Lefschetz Thimble integral

$$
Z_{\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{~m} .}=\sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma} Z_{\sigma}
$$

- Integral along Thimble $J_{\sigma}$

$$
Z_{\sigma}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} d \tau^{\prime} \int_{i \mathbb{R}} d \phi^{\prime} e^{-V}=\frac{i}{2 m}\left(\frac{g^{2} e^{i \theta}}{2 m}\right)^{2 \epsilon} e^{-2 \pi i \epsilon \sigma} \Gamma(\epsilon)^{2}
$$

- Intersection number of original contour \& dual thimble $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$

$$
\left(n_{-1}, n_{0}, n_{1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
(-1,1,0) & \text { for } \theta=-0 & \arg \left[g^{2}\right]<0 \\
(0,-1,1) & \text { for } \theta=+0 & \arg \left[g^{2}\right]>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Stokes phenomena
$\Delta \quad$ Imaginary ambiguity

## Contributions from complex bions

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai(I6)(I7)
Bion contribution to ground-state energy $\quad \delta \epsilon \equiv \epsilon-1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{\text {bion }}=-2 m\left(\frac{g^{2}}{2 m}\right)^{2(\epsilon-1)} \frac{\sin \epsilon \pi}{\pi} \Gamma(\epsilon)^{2} e^{-\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}} \\
\times \begin{cases}e^{\pi i \epsilon} \quad \text { for } \theta=-0 \\
e^{-\pi i \epsilon} \quad \text { for } \theta=+0\end{cases} \\
=-2 m e^{-\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}} \delta \epsilon+4 m\left(\gamma+\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}} \pm \frac{i \pi}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{2 m}{g^{2}} \delta \epsilon^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\delta \epsilon^{3}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

For $p$ bions we obtain

$$
E_{p}=-2 m e^{-\frac{2 p m}{g^{2}}} \delta \epsilon+4 m p^{2}\left(\gamma+\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}} \pm \frac{i \pi}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{2 p m}{g^{2}}} \delta \epsilon^{2}
$$

## Ground-state Energy in CP ${ }^{1}$ QM

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai(I7)

$$
E^{(2)}=g^{2}-m \frac{\operatorname{coth} \frac{m}{g^{2}}}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{m}{g^{2}}}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Ei}\left(\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right)+\operatorname{Ei}\left(-\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right)}{2}-\gamma-\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right]=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2 p m}{g^{2}}} E_{p}^{(2)}
$$

- Perturbative part (asymptotic form)

$$
E_{0}^{(2)} \approx g^{2}-2 m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n-1)!\left(\frac{g^{2}}{2 m}\right)^{n}<\begin{gathered}
A_{l} \sim-\frac{1}{2^{l-1}} \frac{\Gamma(l+2(1-\epsilon))}{\Gamma\left(1-\epsilon \epsilon^{2}\right.} \\
\text { Perturbative coefficients via } \\
\text { Bender-Wu method }
\end{gathered}
$$

- Non-perturbative p-bion part (asymptotic form)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\mathrm{np}}^{(2)} \approx-2 m \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2 m p}{g^{2}}}\left[(p+1)^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n-1)!\left(\frac{g^{2}}{2 m}\right)^{n}+(p-1)^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n-1)!\left(-\frac{g^{2}}{2 m}\right)^{n}\right. \\
& \left.-2 p^{2}\left(\gamma+\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ground-state Energy in CP ${ }^{1}$ QM

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai(I7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{(2)}=g^{2}-m \frac{\operatorname{coth} \frac{m}{g^{2}}}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{m}{g^{2}}}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Ei}\left(\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right)+\operatorname{Ei}\left(-\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right)}{2}-\gamma-\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right]=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2 p m}{g^{2}}} E_{p}^{(2)} \\
\text { Trans-series }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Perturbative part

$$
E_{0}^{(2)}=g^{2}+2 m \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{e^{-t}}{t-\frac{2 m}{g^{2} \pm i 0}}
$$



- Non-perturbative p-bion part

$$
E_{p}^{(2)}=2 m \int_{0}^{\infty} d t e^{-t}\left[\frac{(p+1)^{2}}{t-\frac{2 m}{g^{2} \pm i 0}}+\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{t+\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}}\right]+4 m p^{2}\left(\gamma+\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}} \pm \frac{i \pi}{2}\right)
$$

## Ground-state Energy in $\mathrm{CP}^{1}$ QM

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai( I 7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{(2)}=g^{2}-m \frac{\operatorname{coth} \frac{m}{g^{2}}}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{m}{g^{2}}}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Ei}\left(\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right)+\operatorname{Ei}\left(-\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right)}{2}-\gamma-\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right]=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2 p m}{g^{2}}} E_{p}^{(2)} \\
\text { Trans-series }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Perturbative part

$$
E_{0}^{(2)}=g^{2}+2 m \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{e^{-t}}{t-\frac{2 m}{g^{2} \pm i 0}}
$$

Perturbative contribution around
 0-bion background

- Non-perturbative p-bion part

$$
E_{p}^{(2)}=2 m \int_{0}^{\infty} d t e^{-t}\left[\frac{(p+1)^{2}}{t-\frac{2 m}{g^{2} \pm i 0}}+\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{t+\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}}\right]+4 m p^{2}\left(\gamma+\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}} \pm \frac{i \pi}{2}\right)
$$

Perturbative contribution around p-bion background
p-bion semiclassical contribution $=$ the quasi-moduli integral !

## Ground-state Energy in CP ${ }^{1}$ QM

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai( 17 )

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{(2)}=g^{2}-m \frac{\operatorname{coth} \frac{m}{g^{2}}}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{m}{g^{2}}}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Ei}\left(\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right)+\operatorname{Ei}\left(-\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right)}{2}-\gamma-\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right]=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2 p m}{g^{2}}} E_{p}^{(2)} \\
\text { Trans-series }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Perturbative part

$$
E_{0}^{(2)}=g^{2}+2 m \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{e^{-t}}{t-\frac{2 m}{g^{2} \pm i 0}} \longrightarrow \mp 2 m i \pi
$$

Imaginary ambiguity of perturbation is cancelled by that of 1-bion semiclassical contribution

- Non-perturbative p-bion part

$$
E_{p}^{(2)}=2 m \int_{0}^{\infty} d t e^{-t}\left[\frac{(p+1)^{2}}{t-\frac{2 m}{g^{2} \pm i 0}}+\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{t+\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}}\right]+4 m p^{2}\left(\gamma+\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}} \pm \frac{i \pi}{2}\right)
$$

## Ground-state Energy in CP ${ }^{1}$ QM

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai(I7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{(2)}=g^{2}-m \frac{\operatorname{coth} \frac{m}{g^{2}}}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{m}{g^{2}}}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Ei}\left(\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right)+\operatorname{Ei}\left(-\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right)}{2}-\gamma-\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}}\right]=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2 p m}{g^{2}}} E_{p}^{(2)} \\
\text { Trans-series }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Perturbative part

$$
E_{0}^{(2)}=g^{2}+2 m \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{e^{-t}}{t-\frac{2 m}{g^{2} \pm i 0}}
$$

Cancelled

- Non-perturbative $p$-bion part ( $p-1$ )-bion

$$
E_{p}^{(2)}=2 m \int_{0}^{\infty} d t e^{-t}\left[\frac{(p+1)^{2}}{t-\frac{2 m}{g^{2} \pm i 0}}+\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{t+\frac{2 m}{g^{2}}}\right]+4 m p^{2}\left(\gamma+\log \frac{2 m}{g^{2}} \pm \frac{i \pi}{2}\right)
$$

Imaginary ambiguity of perturbation around ( $p-1$ )-bion is cancelled by that of semiclassical contribution of $p$-bion! And it repeats to infinite $p \ldots$

This is an example of the exact resurgent structure, in which exact cancellation of imaginary ambiguities to all orders of trans-series is observed.

## 2D $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai( 18 )

- SUSY-deformed Lagrangian

$$
L=L_{\mathcal{N}=(2,2) \mathbb{C} P^{N-1}}-\frac{\delta \epsilon}{2 \pi R} m \frac{1-|\varphi|^{2}}{1+|\varphi|^{2}} \quad\left(L=2 \pi R \quad m=\frac{1}{N R}\right)
$$

- $\mathbf{Z}_{N}$-twisted b.c.

$$
\varphi(y+2 \pi R)=e^{2 \pi i m R} \varphi(y) \quad \psi_{\ell, r}(y+2 \pi R)=e^{2 \pi i m R} \psi_{\ell, r}(y)
$$

- Bion solutions

Real: $\varphi=\sqrt{\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega^{2}-m^{2}}} \frac{e^{i m y+i \phi_{0}}}{\sinh \omega\left(x-x_{0}\right)}$

$$
\left(\psi_{\ell, r}=0 \quad \omega^{2}=m^{2}+\frac{m g^{2} \delta \epsilon}{\pi R}\right)
$$

Complex: $\varphi=\sqrt{\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega^{2}-m^{2}}} \frac{i e^{i m y+i \phi_{0}}}{\cosh \omega\left(x-x_{0}\right)}$

$$
\tilde{\varphi}=\sqrt{\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega^{2}-m^{2}}} \frac{i e^{i m y-i \phi_{0}}}{\cosh \omega\left(x-x_{0}\right)}
$$

## 2D $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai( 18 )

- SUSY-deformed Lagrangian

$$
L=L_{\mathcal{N}=(2,2) \mathbb{C} P^{N-1}}-\frac{\delta \epsilon}{2 \pi R} m \frac{1-|\varphi|^{2}}{1+|\varphi|^{2}} \quad\left(L=2 \pi R \quad m=\frac{1}{N R}\right)
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- $\mathbf{Z}_{N}$-twisted b.c.

$$
\varphi(y+2 \pi R)=e^{2 \pi i m R} \varphi(y) \quad \psi_{\ell, r}(y+2 \pi R)=e^{2 \pi i m R} \psi_{\ell, r}(y)
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- Bion solutions
composed of two $1 / N$ fractional instantons

homogeneous in compactified direction


## 2D CP ${ }^{N-1}$ sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai(I8)

- Quasi-moduli integral ( $N=2$ )

Bare effective action $\quad S\left(x_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)=\frac{4 \pi m R}{g^{2}}-\frac{8 \pi m R}{g^{2}} \cos \phi_{r} e^{-m x_{r}}+2 m x_{r}$
Bion contribution

$$
Z_{\text {bion }}=2 \pi \beta \int_{\mathcal{M}} d x_{r} d \phi_{r} \mathcal{J} \frac{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}}{\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{B}}{\text { quantum }}} e^{-S} \quad\left(\text { small } g^{2}\right)
$$
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Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai( 18 )

- Quasi-moduli integral ( $N=2$ )

Bare effective action $\quad S\left(x_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)=\frac{4 \pi m R}{g^{2}}-\frac{8 \pi m R}{g^{2}} \cos \phi_{r} e^{-m x_{r}}+2 m x_{r}$
Bion contribution

$$
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$$


sum over KK modes of quantum fluctuation via zeta function regularization

KK summed I-loop det.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathcal{J} \frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{B}}{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{B}^{0}}\right|_{n=0} \approx\left(\frac{4 m^{2} R}{g_{R}^{2}}\right)^{2}+\cdots, \quad \frac{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}}{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}^{0}} \approx e^{-2 m x_{r}}+\cdots \\
& \left.\log \frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{B}}{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{B}^{0}}\right|_{\mathrm{KK}}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[X_{n}+Y_{n} \cos \phi_{r} e^{-m x_{r}}+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{2}\right)\right] \\
& X_{n}=\log \frac{\frac{n}{R}-m}{\frac{n}{R}+m}, \quad Y_{n}=\frac{4 m R}{n}+\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2D $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai(18)

- Quasi-moduli integral ( $N=2$ )

Bare effective action $\quad S\left(x_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)=\frac{4 \pi m R}{g^{2}}-\frac{8 \pi m R}{g^{2}} \cos \phi_{r} e^{-m x_{r}}+2 m x_{r}$
Bion contribution

$$
Z_{\text {bion }}=2 \pi \beta \int_{\mathcal{M}} d x_{r} d \phi_{r} \mathcal{J} \frac{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}}{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{B}} e^{-S} \quad\left(\text { small } g^{2}\right)
$$

』sum over KK modes of quantum fluctuation via zeta function regularization

KK summed I-loop det.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\mathcal{J} \frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{B}}{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{B}^{0}}\right|_{n=0} \approx\left(\frac{4 m^{2} R}{g_{R}^{2}}\right)^{2}+\cdots, \quad \frac{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}}{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}^{0}} \approx e^{-2 m x_{r}}+\cdots \\
\left.\log \frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{B}}{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{B}^{0}}\right|_{\mathrm{KK}}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[X_{n}+Y_{n} \cos \phi_{r} e^{-m x_{r}}+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{2}\right)\right] \\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n}=-2 m R \log R \Lambda_{0}+\log \frac{\Gamma(1+m R)}{\Gamma(1-m R)}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_{n}=4 m R \log R \Lambda_{0}+\cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

## 2D $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai( 18 )

- Quasi-moduli integral ( $N=2$ )

Bare effective action $\quad S\left(x_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)=\frac{4 \pi m R}{g^{2}}-\frac{8 \pi m R}{g^{2}} \cos \phi_{r} e^{-m x_{r}}+2 m x_{r}$
Bion contribution

$$
Z_{\text {bion }}=2 \pi \beta \int_{\mathcal{M}} d x_{r} d \phi_{r} \mathcal{J} \frac{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}}{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{B}} e^{-S} \quad\left(\text { small } g^{2}\right)
$$


sum over KK modes of quantum fluctuation via zeta function regularization

Renormalized
effective action

$$
S_{R}\left(x_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)=S\left(x_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)-\log \frac{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}}{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}^{0}}+\log \frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{B}}{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{B}^{0}}
$$

## 2D $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai( 18 )

- Quasi-moduli integral ( $N=2$ )

Bare effective action $\quad S\left(x_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)=\frac{4 \pi m R}{g^{2}}-\frac{8 \pi m R}{g^{2}} \cos \phi_{r} e^{-m x_{r}}+2 m x_{r}$
Bion contribution

$$
Z_{\text {bion }}=2 \pi \beta \int_{\mathcal{M}} d x_{r} d \phi_{r} \mathcal{J} \frac{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}}{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{B}} e^{-S} \quad\left(\text { small } g^{2}\right)
$$


sum over KK modes of quantum fluctuation via zeta function regularization

Renormalized
effective action

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{R}\left(x_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)=\frac{4 \pi m R}{g_{R}^{2}}-\frac{8 \pi m R}{g_{R}^{2}} \cos \phi_{r} e^{-m x_{r}}+2 m x_{r} \\
\frac{1}{g_{R}^{2}}=\frac{1}{g^{2}}-\frac{1}{\pi} \log \left|R \Lambda_{0}\right| \quad \begin{array}{c}
\Lambda_{\mathbb{C} P^{1}}=\Lambda_{0} e^{-\frac{\pi}{g^{2}}} \\
\text { dynamical scale }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 2D $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai( 18 )

- Quasi-moduli integral ( $N=2$ )

Bare effective action $\quad S\left(x_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)=\frac{4 \pi m R}{g^{2}}-\frac{8 \pi m R}{g^{2}} \cos \phi_{r} e^{-m x_{r}}+2 m x_{r}$
Bion contribution

$$
Z_{\text {bion }}=2 \pi \beta \int_{\mathcal{M}} d x_{r} d \phi_{r} \mathcal{J} \frac{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}}{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{B}} e^{-S} \quad\left(\text { small } g^{2}\right)
$$

sum over KK modes of quantum fluctuation via zeta function regularization

Vacuum energy

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
E_{\text {bion }} & \approx|R \Lambda|^{2}(\operatorname{Re} \pm i \mathrm{Im}) & & \text { Renormalon-like } \\
& =e^{-2 \pi / g_{R}^{2}}(\operatorname{Re} \pm i \mathrm{Im}) & \text { Imaginary ambiguity }
\end{array}
$$

It has to be cancelled by perturbative Borel resummation

## 2D $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Fujimori, Kamata, TM, Nitta, Sakai( 18 )

- Quasi-moduli integral ( $N=2$ )

Bare effective action $\quad S\left(x_{r}, \phi_{r}\right)=\frac{4 \pi m R}{g^{2}}-\frac{8 \pi m R}{g^{2}} \cos \phi_{r} e^{-m x_{r}}+2 m x_{r}$
Bion contribution

$$
Z_{\text {bion }}=2 \pi \beta \int_{\mathcal{M}} d x_{r} d \phi_{r} \mathcal{J} \frac{\operatorname{det} \Delta_{F}}{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{B}} e^{-S} \quad\left(\text { small } g^{2}\right)
$$

sum over KK modes of quantum fluctuation via zeta function regularization

Vacuum energy

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
E_{\text {bion }} & \approx|R \Lambda|^{2}(\operatorname{Re} \pm i \mathrm{Im}) & & \text { Renormalon-like } \\
& =e^{-2 \pi / g_{R}^{2}}(\operatorname{Re} \pm i \mathrm{Im}) & \text { Imaginary ambiguity }
\end{array}
$$

Resurgence with renormalized coupling

## Question: is it related to the IR-renormalon on $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ ?

I. There have been intensive studies on this subject.

Ishikawa, Morikawa, Nakayama, Shibata, Suzuki, Takaura (19) Yamazaki,Yonekura (19) Ishikawa, Morikawa, Shibata, Suzuki (20) Morikawa, Takaura (20) Fujimori,TM, Nishimura, Nitta, Sakai, in progress
See Marino, Reis (19)(20) for renormalons and resurgence in other models,
2. In any case, the key is whether the compactified theory is smoothly connected to the theory on $\mathrm{R}^{2}$.
3. This is the reason why we next discuss adiabatic continuity of $Z_{N}$ - QFT by use of 't Hooft anomaly and lattice simulation

So, let us start a journey to the continuity !

# 2. Review of anomaly and lattice (for $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$-twisted 4D gauge theories) 

Tanizaki,TM, Sakai (I7)
Tanizaki, Kikuchi, TM, Sakai (17) Iritani, Itou,TM (I5)

See also Shimizu, Yonekura(17)

## Use of 't Hooft anomaly matching

't Hooft anomaly of G at UV
't Hooft anomaly of G at IR
Trivially gapped phase is prohibited


SSB of symmetry G in gapped phase $\square$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Intrinsic topological } \\ \text { phase }\end{array}$ |
| :---: |

## Use of 2D 't Hooft anomaly matching

't Hooft anomaly of G at UV

| 't Hooft anomaly of G at IR |
| :---: |
| $=$ |
| Trivially gapped phase is prohibited |

SSB of discrete sym. (gapped)


## $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$ 1-form symmetry in 4D

Gaiotto, Kapustin, Seiberg, Willett (I4)

- 0-form symmetry = usual global symmetry, whose charged object is 0 -dim point-like operator
$Q$

$$
\phi \rightarrow e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{N}} \phi \quad \mathrm{Z}_{N} 0 \text {-form symmetry }
$$

- I-form symmetry = invariance under transf. by closed I-form $\varepsilon^{(1)}$, whose charged object is I-dim line operator
$Q$

$$
\begin{gathered}
W(C) \rightarrow \exp \left(\frac{i}{N} \int_{C} \epsilon^{(1)}\right) W(C) \quad a \rightarrow a+\epsilon^{(1)} / N \\
=e^{\frac{2 \pi i z}{N}} W(C) \quad \mathrm{Z}_{N} \text { 1-form symmetry }
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{SU}(N)$ Yang-Mills theory has $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$ 1-form center symmetry at low- $T$

## How to gauge $\mathrm{Z}_{N} 1$-form symmetry

Kapustin, Seiberg (14) Aharony, Seiberg, Tachikawa (13)
How to gauge such $Z_{N} 1$-form symmetry
$\Rightarrow$ Background gauge field for $\mathrm{Z}_{N} 1$-form symmetry
= Pair of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ 2-form and 1-form gauge fields ( $B, C$ )

$$
N B=d C
$$

generalization of $N A=d \phi(A: U(1)$ gauge, $\phi:$ Higgs $)$ in $\mathrm{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{N}$ Higgsed vacuum

## How to gauge $\mathrm{Z}_{N} 1$-form symmetry

Kapustin, Seiberg (14) Aharony, Seiberg, Tachikawa (I3)
How to gauge such $Z_{N} 1$-form symmetry
$\Rightarrow$ Background gauge field for $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$ 1-form symmetry
$=$ Pair of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ 2-form and 1-form gauge fields ( $B, C$ )

$$
N B=d C
$$

generalization of $N A=d \phi(A: U(1)$ gauge, $\phi:$ Higgs $)$ in $\mathrm{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{N}$ Higgsed vacuum

- $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$-gauged action with these $\mathrm{U}(1)$ fields $\quad \tilde{a}=a+\frac{1}{N} C \quad \tilde{G}=d \tilde{a}+i \tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{a}$

$$
S=\frac{1}{2 g^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{G}-B) \wedge *(\tilde{G}-B)]+\frac{i \theta}{8 \pi^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{G}-B) \wedge(\tilde{G}-B)]+S_{\substack{\text { includes discrete } \\ \text { theta parameter } p}}
$$

We note $\mathrm{Z}_{N} \mathrm{I}$-form symmetry itself has no 't Hooft anomaly, but CP symmetry may be broken $\rightarrow$ Mixed 't Hooft anomaly

## $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ Yang-Mills theory with $\theta=\pi$

Gaiotto, Kapustin, Komargodski, Seiberg (17)

- CP transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\frac{1}{2 g^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{G}-B) \wedge *(\tilde{G}-B)]+\frac{i \theta}{8 \pi^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{G}-B) \wedge(\tilde{G}-B)]+S_{\mathrm{TFT}} \\
& \theta \rightarrow-\theta \quad p \rightarrow-p \quad \text { with } \quad \theta=\pi \\
& Z[A, B] \rightarrow Z[A, B] \exp \left[-\frac{i}{4 \pi} \int \operatorname{tr}\{\tilde{G} \wedge \tilde{G}\}-\frac{i N(2 p-1)}{4 \pi} \int B \wedge B\right] \\
& =Z[A, B] \exp \left[-2 \pi i \mathbb{Z} \frac{2 p-1}{N}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $N$ is even, it obviously has mixed 't Hooft anomaly
- If $N$ is odd, " $2 p-1=0 \bmod N^{"}$ is possible, but it's inconsistent with the anomaly-absent condition $" 2 p=0 \bmod N$ " for $\theta=0$


## $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ Yang-Mills theory with $\theta=\pi$

Gaiotto, Kapustin, Komargodski, Seiberg (17)

- CP transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\frac{1}{2 g^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{G}-B) \wedge *(\tilde{G}-B)]+\frac{i \theta}{8 \pi^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr}[(\tilde{G}-B) \wedge(\tilde{G}-B)]+S_{\mathrm{TFT}} \\
& \quad \theta \rightarrow-\theta \quad p \rightarrow-p \quad \text { with } \quad \theta=\pi \\
& Z[A, B] \rightarrow Z[A, B] \exp \left[-\frac{i}{4 \pi} \int \operatorname{tr}\{\tilde{G} \wedge \tilde{G}\}-\frac{i N(2 p-1)}{4 \pi} \int B \wedge B\right] \\
& \\
& =Z[A, B] \exp \left[-2 \pi i \mathbb{Z} \frac{2 p-1}{N}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Mixed 't Hooft anomaly and Global inconsistency indicate SSB of either of CP or $\mathrm{Z}_{N} \mathbf{1}$-form symmetry as long as the system is in a gapped phase.

## $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ Yang-Mills theory with $\theta=\pi$ on $\mathrm{R}_{3} \times \mathrm{S}_{1}$

Gaiotto, Kapustin, Komargodski, Seiberg (17)

$$
Z\left[A, B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}\right] \rightarrow Z\left[A, B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}\right] \exp \left[-\frac{i N(2 p-1)}{2 \pi} \frac{\int B^{(2)} \wedge B^{(1)} \wedge L^{-1} d x^{4}}{\downarrow}\right]
$$

Mixed 't Hooft anomaly and Global inconsistency indicate spontaneous breaking of either of CP or $\mathrm{Z}_{N} \mathbf{1}$-form symmetry even at finite-temperature (trivially gapped phase is forbidden)!



## $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ Yang-Mills theory with $\theta=\pi$ on $\mathrm{R}_{3} \times \mathrm{S}_{1}$

Gaiotto, Kapustin, Komargodski, Seiberg (17)

$$
Z\left[A, B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}\right] \rightarrow Z\left[A, B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}\right] \exp \left[-\frac{i N(2 p-1)}{2 \pi} \frac{\left.\int B^{(2)} \wedge B^{(1)} \wedge L^{-1} d x^{4}\right]}{\downarrow B^{(2)} \wedge B^{(1)}}\right.
$$

Mixed 't Hooft anomaly and Global inconsistency indicate spontaneous breaking of either of CP or $\mathrm{Z}_{N} \mathbf{1}$-form symmetry even at finite-temperature (trivially gapped phase is forbidden)!


## Anomaly matching for $N_{C}=N_{F}=N$ QCD

$$
S=\frac{1}{2 g^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr}\left(G_{\mathrm{c}} \wedge * G_{\mathrm{c}}\right)+\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \operatorname{tr}\left\{\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\mu} D_{\mu}(a) \Psi\right\}
$$

- Flavor and chiral symmetries $\frac{S U(N)_{L} \times S U(N)_{R} \times U(1)_{V} \times\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2 N}\right)_{A}}{\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)_{\text {color }} \times\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)_{L} \times\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)_{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}}$
- We here concentrate only on subgroups of the symmetries

$$
\left(\text { vector-like } \frac{S U(N)_{\text {flavor }}}{\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)_{\text {color-flavor }}} \quad \text { axial }\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2 N}\right)_{\text {axial }}\right.
$$

- Procedure of gauging the vector-like symmetry is similar to that in YM After gauging $\mathrm{SU}(N)_{\text {flavor }}$, we encounter $\mathrm{Z}_{N} \mathrm{I}$-form symmetry as a result of the quotient $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{N}\right)_{\text {color-flavor }} \rightarrow(B, C)$ fields are needed


# Anomaly matching for $N_{C}=N_{F}=N$ QCD 

Let us study mixed 't Hooft anomaly between

$$
\text { vector-like } \frac{S U(N)_{\text {flavor }}}{\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)_{\text {color-flavor }}}
$$

## Anomaly matching for $N_{C}=N_{F}=N$ QCD

Let us study mixed 't Hooft anomaly between

$$
\text { vector-like } \frac{S U(N)_{\text {flavor }}}{\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)_{\text {color-flavor }}}
$$

To show it, we gauge the vector sym. and perform ( $\left.\mathrm{Z}_{2 N}\right)_{\text {axial }}$ transf.

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{\text {gauged }}=\frac{1}{2 g^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{c}}+B\right) \wedge *\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{c}}+B\right)\right\}+\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \operatorname{tr}\left\{\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\mu} D_{\mu}(\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{A}) \Psi\right\} \\
\Delta S=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4 \pi} \int \operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{c}}+B\right) \wedge\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{c}}+B\right)\right\}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4 \pi} \int \operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{f}}+B\right) \wedge\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{f}}+B\right)\right\}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} 2 N}{4 \pi} \int B \wedge B=-\frac{4 \pi i}{N} \mathbb{Z} \\
\text { thus we have a mixed 't Hooft anomaly } \\
\Rightarrow \mathcal{Z}[(A, B)] \mapsto \mathcal{Z}[(A, B)] \exp \left(-\frac{2 \mathrm{i} N}{4 \pi} \int B \wedge B\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Either of symmetries should be broken: consistent with chiral SSB

## Anomaly matching for $N_{C}=N_{F}=N$ QCD

Let us study mixed 't Hooft anomaly between

$$
\text { vector-like } \frac{S U(N)_{\text {flavor }}}{\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)_{\text {color-flavor }}}
$$

To show it, we gauge the vector sym. and perform ( $\left.\mathrm{Z}_{2 N}\right)_{\text {axial }}$ transf.

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{\text {gauged }}=\frac{1}{2 g^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{c}}+B\right) \wedge *\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{c}}+B\right)\right\}+\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \operatorname{tr}\left\{\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\mu} D_{\mu}(\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{A}) \Psi\right\} \\
\Delta S=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4 \pi} \int \operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{c}}+B\right) \wedge\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{c}}+B\right)\right\}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4 \pi} \int \operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{f}}+B\right) \wedge\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{f}}+B\right)\right\}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} 2 N}{4 \pi} \int B \wedge B=-\frac{4 \pi i}{N} \mathbb{Z} \\
\text { thus we have a mixed 't Hooft anomaly } \\
\Rightarrow \mathcal{Z}[(A, B)] \mapsto \mathcal{Z}[(A, B)] \exp \left(-\frac{2 \mathrm{i} N}{4 \pi} \int B \wedge B\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

However, this 't Hooft anomaly disappears in compactified theory...

## $\mathrm{Z}_{N}-\mathrm{QCD}$ theory on $\mathrm{R}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

- Introducing $\mathbf{S U}(N)$ fiavor $\mathbf{Z}_{N}$ holonomy $=\mathbf{Z}_{N}$ - $\mathbf{Q C D}$

$$
\Omega=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \phi} \operatorname{diag}\left[1, \omega, \omega^{2}, \ldots, \omega^{N-1}\right] \quad \omega \equiv e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{N}}
$$

Kouno, et.al. (I2~) Iritani, Itou, TM (I5) Cherman et.al. (16~)

Equivalent to flavor-dependent $Z_{N}$ twisted boundary condition

$$
\Psi\left(\boldsymbol{x}, x^{4}+L\right)=\Psi\left(\boldsymbol{x}, x^{4}\right) \Omega \quad \xrightarrow{L \rightarrow \infty} \quad N \text {-flavor QCD on R }{ }^{4}
$$

we have a new intertwined $Z_{N} 0$-form symmetry

$$
\frac{\Psi \mapsto \Psi S}{\text { flavor rotation }}
$$

$$
+\quad \frac{\Omega \rightarrow \omega \Omega}{\text { ZN }_{N}} \frac{\Omega \text {-form transf.(center) }}{}
$$

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

named as color-flavor center symmetry $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)_{S}$

## Anomaly matching for $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$ - QCD theory on $\mathrm{R}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Tanizaki,TM, Sakai (I7) Tanizaki, Kikuchi, TM, Sakai (I7)
Let us gauge flavor and $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{N}\right) s$, then perform $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2 N}\right)$ axial transformation

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\Omega}\left[\left(A_{K}, B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}\right)\right]=\mathcal{Z}\left[\left(A_{K}+B^{(1)}+A_{\mathrm{cl}}, B^{(2)}+B^{(1)} \wedge L^{-1} \mathrm{~d} x^{4}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\Omega}\left[\left(A_{K}, B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}\right)\right] \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_{\Omega}\left[\left(A_{K}, B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}\right)\right] \exp \left(-\frac{2 \mathrm{i} N}{2 \pi} \int B^{(2)} \wedge B^{(1)}\right)
$$

Mixed anomaly survives !

## Mixed 't Hooft anomaly among

$\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)_{S}$
color-flavor center sym.
$\mathbf{Z}_{N}$-twisted boundary condition works to make 't Hooft anomaly survives in compactified theory.

## Anomaly matching $\mathrm{Z}_{N}-\mathrm{QCD}$ theory on $\mathrm{R}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Tanizaki, Kikuchi, TM, Sakai (I7)
We can make constraints on finite- $(T, \mu)$ phase diagram of $Z_{N}-\mathrm{QCD}$


## Anomaly matching $\mathrm{Z}_{N}-\mathrm{QCD}$ theory on $\mathrm{R}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Tanizaki, Kikuchi, TM, Sakai (17)
We can make constraints on finite- $(T, \mu)$ phase diagram of $Z_{N}-\mathrm{QCD}$



We can compare these with the lattice results Iritani, Itou,TM(15)

## Comparison with lattice $\mathrm{Z}_{3}-\mathrm{QCD}$ on $\mathrm{R}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

- Polyakov-loop distribution plot



High-T : equiv. 3 vacua ~ SSB of Z3
$\rightarrow$ Z3 at the action level

- Hadron spectrum


At zero temperature, meson spectrum agrees with that of usual QCD

## Comparison with lattice $\mathrm{Z}_{3}-\mathrm{QCD}$ on $\mathrm{R}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Iritani, Itou, TM (I5)
$\beta$ dependence of EV of Polyakov-loop \& chiral condensate

Polyakov loop: order parameter of $\left(Z_{N}\right)_{S}$


Chiral condensate: order para. of $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{2 N}\right)_{\text {axial }}$


Chiral transition never occurs below the center transition temperature. It is consistent with the absence of trivially-gapped phase, or the result of the 't Hooft anomaly matching!

## Comparison with lattice $\mathrm{Z}_{3}-\mathrm{QCD}$ on $\mathrm{R}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Iritani, Itou,TM (I5)
$\beta$ dependence of EV of Polyakov-loop \& chiral condensate

Polyakov loop: order parameter of $\left(Z_{N}\right)_{S}$


Chiral condensate: order para. of $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{2 N}\right)_{\text {axial }}$


For feasible way to realize adiabatic continuity in $\mathrm{Z}_{N}-\mathrm{QCD}$, see Cherman, Schafer, Unsal (I6): heavy adjoint quark is a key

## 3. Adiabatic continuity in $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Tanizaki,TM, Sakai (I7)
Fujimori, Itou, TM, Nitta, Sakai (19)(20)

## Anomaly matching for $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ models with $\theta=\pi$

We first study mixed 't Hooft anomaly on $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ between
flavor $S U(N) / \mathbb{Z}_{N}$ time reversal $\top$

To show it, we gauge flavor sym. and perform T transformation
$\mathcal{Z}_{\pi}[\mathrm{T} \cdot(A, B)] \exp \left(-\mathrm{i} k \int \mathrm{~T} \cdot B\right)=\mathcal{Z}_{\pi}[(A, B)] \exp \left(-\mathrm{i} k \int B\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(2 k-1) \int B} \frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}}{N}$
For even $N$, it has a mixed 't Hooft anomaly for $\theta=\pi \quad \frac{\pi}{N}$ For odd $N$, it has global inconsistency between $\theta=0, \pi$

It indicates spontaneous T breaking at $\theta=\pi$ on $\mathrm{R}^{2}$
On $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ this mixed 't Hooft anomaly disappears.....

## $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$-twisted $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ model at $\theta=\pi$ on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Tanizaki, TM, Sakai (I7)
$\mathrm{Z}_{N}$ twisted boundary condition in $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ direction

$$
\vec{z}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}+L\right)=\Omega \vec{z}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) \quad \Omega=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, \omega, \ldots, \omega^{N-1}\right)
$$

we have intertwined $\mathbb{Z}_{N} 0$-form shift symmetry $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)_{S}$

$$
\frac{\vec{z} \rightarrow S \vec{z}}{\text { flavor rotation }} \quad \& \quad \frac{\Omega \rightarrow \omega \Omega}{\mathrm{Z}_{N} 0 \text {-form transf. }}
$$

$\rightarrow$ we gauge $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right)_{S}$ by $\mathrm{U}(1)$ 1-form field $B^{(1)}$ then perform T transf.

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\pi, \Omega}\left[\mathrm{T} \cdot B^{(1)}\right]=\mathcal{Z}_{\pi, \Omega}\left[B^{(1)}\right] \exp \left(-\mathrm{i} \int B^{(1)}\right) \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { Mixed anomaly } \\
\text { survives! }
\end{gathered}
$$

Mixed anomaly (global inconsistency) btwn $Z_{N}$ and $T$ survives on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$. It is a necessary condition of adiabatic continuity, but not conclusive...

## Lattice simulation for $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$-twisted $\mathrm{CP}^{N-1}$ model

Fujimori, Itou,TM, Nitta, Sakai (19)(20)
What we want to check is the following conjecture:


Fujimori, Itou, TM, Nitta, Sakai (19)


## Setup of lattice simulation

cf.) Berg,Luscher(8I), Campostrini,et.al.(92), Alles,et.al.(00), Flynn,et.al.(I5), Abe,et.al.(I8)

- Lattice formulation $S=-N \beta \sum_{n, \mu}\left(\bar{z}_{n+\mu} \cdot z_{n} \lambda_{n, \mu}+\bar{z}_{n} \cdot z_{n+\mu} \bar{\lambda}_{n, \mu}-2\right)$

Vector field $\phi$ is introduced: $\begin{array}{ll}\phi_{2 j}=\Re\left[z_{n, j}\right], & \phi_{2 j+1}=\Im\left[z_{n, j}\right], \quad j=0, \cdots, N-1 \\ \phi^{R}=\Re\left[\lambda_{\mu}\right], & \phi_{L}^{T}=\Im\left[\lambda_{n j}\right],\end{array}$$s_{\phi}=-N \beta \phi \cdot F_{\phi}=-N \beta\left|F_{\phi}\right| \cos \theta$
Over heat-bath algorithm is adopted to update this $\theta$

- Parameters and quantities
$N_{x}=200 \quad N_{\tau}=8, \quad \beta=0.1-4.0, N=3-20, \quad N_{\text {sweep }}=800,000$
- Distribution and expectation values of Polyakov loop
- "Pseudo" entropy density $s=L_{\tau}\left(\left\langle T_{x x}\right\rangle-\left\langle T_{\tau \tau}\right\rangle\right)$


## Distribution plot of Polyakov loop



Low- $\beta$ : concentrates around origin
$\rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{N}$ symmetry at action level

High- $\beta$ : forms regular-polygon shape
$\rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{N}$ symmetry at quantum level

## Distribution plot of Polyakov loop



Low- $\beta$ : concentrates around origin
$\rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{N}$ symmetry at action level
$N=5, \beta=1.6 \quad\left(\mathrm{small} L_{\tau}\right)$


High- $\beta$ : forms regular-polygon shape
$\rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{N}$ symmetry at quantum level

## EV of Polyakov loop $|<P>|$




- Low $\beta \rightarrow|\langle P\rangle|=0$ : distribution around origin
- High $\beta \rightarrow|\langle P\rangle| \sim 0$ : distribution forms regular polygons
$\langle P\rangle$ is still small even above characteristic $\beta$ defined by $\langle | P\rangle$ This peculiar behavior indicates $Z_{N}$ stability


## EV of Polyakov loop $|<P>|$




- Low $\beta \rightarrow|\langle P\rangle|=0$ : distribution around origin
- High $\beta \rightarrow|\langle P\rangle| \sim 0$ : distribution forms regular polygons
$\langle P\rangle$ is still small even above characteristic $\beta$ defined by $\langle | P\rangle$ This peculiar behavior indicates $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$ stability


## Fractional instantons

Pick up two of configurations and look into the $x$-dependence of $\arg [P]$


Fractional instantons cause transition among $N$ classical minima, which leads to stability of $Z_{N}$ symmetry and adiabatic continuity

## Fractional instantons

Pick up two of configurations and look into the $x$-dependence of $\arg [P]$


Fractional instantons cause transition among $N$ classical minima, which leads to stability of $Z_{N}$ symmetry and adiabatic continuity

## Fractional instantons

Pick up two of configurations and look into the $x$-dependence of $\arg [P]$


$$
=\text { bion }
$$

See Itou(I8) for YM fractional instantons

Fractional instantons cause transition among $N$ classical minima, which leads to stability of $Z_{N}$ symmetry and adiabatic continuity

## Large statistics gives small $|<\mathrm{P}>|$




Even though polygon shape is broken and $|<P>|$ is nonzero for large beta, adopting larger statistics restores polygon shape and leads to small $|<P>|$.

## Large statistics gives small $|<P>|$



Even though polygon shape is broken and $|<P>|$ is nonzero for large beta, adopting larger statistics restores polygon shape and leads to small $|<P>|$.

## "Pseudo entropy density"

Quantity corresponding to thermal entropy density for PBC

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\left\langle T_{x x}-T_{\tau \tau}\right\rangle / T, \quad \text { with } T \equiv 1 / L_{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

> cf.) Thermal entropy density for PBC

- It takes a negative value, unlike thermal entropy for PBC
- There is no transition, which is consistent with adiabatic continuity
- In large N , it is likely to become zero for the whole beta region, consistent with the volume independence
cf.) Sulejmanpasic (16)

All of these results imply $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$ stability even at small compactification circumference and supports adiabatic continuity conjecture !

## 4. Other theories with $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$-twisted b.c.

Hongo,TM,Tanizaki (18)
TM, Tanizaki, Unsal (19)

Yuya will discuss them in detail in the next talk.

## $\mathrm{SU}(3) / \mathrm{U}(1)^{2}$ flag sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

Hongo,TM,Tanizaki(I8)

$$
S=-\sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \int\left[\frac{1}{2 g}\left|\left(d+i a_{\ell}\right) \phi_{\ell}\right|^{2}-\frac{i \theta_{\ell}}{2 \pi} d a_{\ell}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\ell}=\left(\phi_{1, \ell}, \phi_{2, \ell}, \phi_{3, \ell}\right)^{t} \in \mathbb{C}^{3}(\ell=1,2,3) \\
& \bar{\phi}_{\ell} \cdot \phi_{k}=\delta_{\ell k}
\end{aligned} a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=0
$$

- Another extension of spin chain systems
- Another generalization of $\mathrm{O}(3)$ or $\mathrm{CP}^{1}$ nonlinear sigma model

Bykov (II), Lajko, et.al. (I7) Ohmori,Seiberg,Shao(I8)

## Phase diagram on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$




Tanizaki, Sulejmanpasic (18)

- 't Hooft anomaly survives in $\mathrm{Z}_{3}$-twisted flag sigma model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$
- DIGA with fractional instantons on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ gives phase structure consistent with the conjectured one on $\mathrm{R}^{2}$
$\mathrm{Z}_{N}$-twisted theory correctly keeps 2 d vacuum structure!


## Charge- $q$ N-flavor Schwinger model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

$$
S=\frac{1}{2 e^{2}} \int_{M_{2}}|\mathrm{~d} a|^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \theta}{2 \pi} \int_{M_{2}} \mathrm{~d} a+\sum_{f=1}^{N} \int_{M_{2}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} x \bar{\psi}^{f} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+q \mathrm{i} a_{\mu}\right) \psi^{f}
$$

- $q=2$ on domain-wall of $\mathfrak{N}=1 \mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{SYM}$ Anber, Poppitz (18)
- $\mathrm{O1}-\overline{\mathrm{D} 1}$ system : $q=2, N=8$

Sugimoto, Takahashi (04) Armoni, Sugimoto (18)

## Chiral condensate in $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$



- 't Hooft anomaly survives in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$-twisted Schwinger model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$
- Chiral condensate of $Z_{N}$-twisted model exhibits WZW scaling dim.
$\mathrm{Z}_{N}$-twisted theory correctly keeps 2-dimensional CFT properties !


## Chiral condensate in $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$

$$
\frac{\left|\left\langle\bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{L}} \psi_{R}\right\rangle\right|}{m_{\gamma}} \quad q=1, N=3
$$

- 't Hooft anomaly survives in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$-twisted Schwinger model on $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$
- Chiral condensate of $Z_{N}$-twisted model exhibits WZW scaling dim.
$\mathrm{Z}_{N}$-twisted theory correctly keeps 2-dimensional CFT properties !


## Summary

- Bion contributions at field theoretical levels with $Z_{N}$-twisted boundary condition yield renormalon-like imaginary ambiguity.
- The 't Hooft anomalies survive in the compactified theory with $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$-twisted boundary condition.
- Lattice simulation exhibits $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$ stability even at small radius, which could imply adiabatic continuity in 2D.
- Other results also indicate that $\mathrm{Z}_{N}$ twisted b. c. leads to the adiabatic continuity of the vacuum and phase structures in 2D.


## Other resurgence projects

- Exact results of 3D N=2 Chern-Simons with matters via localization exhibit very clear resurgent structure. Fujimori, Honda, Kamata, TM, Sakai (18) inspired by Aniceto, Russo, Schiappa(14) Gukov, Marino, Putrov(16) Honda(I6)
- Quantum phase transition in 3D N=4 QED can be elucidated by use of thimble analysis and trans-series expansion.

Fujimori, Honda, Kamata, TM, Sakai, Yoda, in progress inspired by Russo, Tierz (16)

- Relation between Stokes phenomena in exact-WKB and standard resurgent analysis is clarified, where equivalence of several quantization conditions are shown explicitly.

Sueishi, Kamata, TM, Unsal, to appear in arXiv shortly

- Schwinger effect under time-dependent strong electric field is analyzed by exactWKB method, whose results are consistent with those of steepest descent method.

Taya, Fujimori, TM, Nitta, Sakai in progress

- Resurgent structure of non-relativistic quantum system is being studied in a similar manner to the relativistic one.

