Energy Fluctuations in Driven, Thermalizing and Driven Dissipative Systems

Yariv Kafri (Technion)

with

Guy Bunin (Technion), Luca D'Alessio (Boston University) and Anatoli Polkovnikov (Boston University)

> Nature Physics, **7**, 913 (2011) arxiv:1202.5053



Can say concrete statements when relaxation time of subsystem << drive and coupling times (note combined system may still be far from equilibrium)

<u>Outline</u>

- Discuss first in details the driven isolated case
- In particular observe two broad classes of distributions
- Illustrate the idea behind derivation in a trivial example
- Derivation and conditions for the relation to hold derive using fluctuation relations (quantum version see poster by Guy Bunin)
- [Illustrate on another example (driven XY model in Id, driven quantum transverse field Ising model in Id and particle in a chaotic cavity)]
- Results for driven dissipative, thermalizing and drive by external baths
- Summarize

Driven Isolated - Setup

Many body **isolated** system in a potential



Motivation: cold atom systems, trapped ions...

Due to noise in the system the potential is fluctuating in time

2nd law - Lord Kelvin: No process is possible in which the sole result is the

absorption of heat from a reservoir and its complete conversion into work



fluctuating potential can only increase (on average) the energy of the system

"X-rays will prove to be a hoax." -- Lord Kelvin, president, Royal Society, 1895

"Radio has no future." -- Lord Kelvin

"Heavier than air flying machines are impossible." -- Lord Kelvin



ston with a given cyclic protocol





Thermodynamics - **adiabatic** process energy will remain constant every time cycle is completed

irreversible

process every experiment will give a different result (will be visible in small mesoscopic systems)



irreversible process every experiment will give a different result



Second law - repeat experiment many times and the average energy will always increase



Several questions (begin to address in this talk):

• Can we say something about the distribution of the final energies?

• How do they compare to changing the energy of the system by coupling the system to a *thermal bath*?

$$\sigma^2_{eq}(E) = T^2 C_{v} \quad \text{independent of history, given energy know width}$$

Can it be wider/narrower?





• Can one classify different systems with distinct behaviors?

Main Result for this setup

If the drive is slow enough (*still irreversible* + *exact conditions later*) the variance is governed by the rate of energy change in the system



Specifically, given $A(\langle E \rangle) = \partial_t \langle E \rangle$ (depends on how potential varies and for a given system can be controlled to a large extent) we can write:

$$\sigma^{2}(E) = \sigma_{0}^{2} \frac{A^{2}(E)}{A^{2}(E_{0})} + 2A^{2}(E) \int_{E_{0}}^{E} \frac{dE'}{A^{2}(E')\beta(E')}$$

at initial state inverse temperature at energy $E'_{\beta(E) = \partial \ln \Omega(E)/\partial E}$
In essence a direct result of time-reversal symmetry

Implications of results I

thermal bath $\sigma_{eq}^2(E) = T^2 C_v$

Recall, given $A(\langle E \rangle) = \partial_t \langle E \rangle$ we can write:

$$\sigma^{2}\left(E\right) = \sigma_{0}^{2} \frac{A^{2}\left(E\right)}{A^{2}\left(E_{0}\right)} + 2A^{2}\left(E\right) \int_{E_{0}}^{E} \frac{dE'}{A^{2}\left(E'\right)\beta\left(E'\right)} \int_{E_{0}}^{E} \frac{dE'}{A^{2}\left(E'\right)} \int_{E_{0}}^{E} \frac{dE'}{A^{2}\left(E'\right$$

• Depending on the functional form of A(E), $\beta(E)$ the distribution can be larger and somewhat surprisingly *smaller* than the equilibrium distribution.

History Dependent

Implications of results - 2

thermal bath $\sigma_{eq}^2(E) = T^2 C_v$

Recall, given $A(\langle E \rangle) = \partial_t \langle E \rangle$ we can write:

$$\sigma^{2}(E) = \sigma_{0}^{2} \frac{A^{2}(E)}{A^{2}(E_{0})} + 2A^{2}(E) \int_{E_{0}}^{E} \frac{dE'}{A^{2}(E')\beta(E')}$$

$$I$$
at initial state inverse temperature at energy E'

• **Two distinct behaviors** depending on integral controlled by upper or lower bound (of course doesn't have to be).

Namely, if integral diverges/converges asymptotically at large ${\cal E}$

Illustrate last points for genetic $\beta(E) \propto E^{-\alpha}$ (Goldstone modes, Fermi liquid, Ideal gas)

from positivity of specific heat

 $0 < \alpha \leq 1$

Take $A(\langle E \rangle) = \partial_t \langle E \rangle = c \langle E \rangle^s$, namely, rate of change power law in energy.

demanding finite energy at finite time $\ensuremath{s} < 1$

Results normalized by equilibrium width:

$$\beta(E) \propto E^{-\alpha} \qquad \qquad A(E) = \partial_t E = E^s$$

$$\eta = 2s - 1 - \alpha$$

Regime	Condition	width
Gibbs-like	$\eta < 0$	$rac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2_{eq}}\sim rac{2lpha}{ \eta }$
run-away	$\eta > 0$	$rac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2_{eq}}\sim rac{2lpha}{\eta}\left(rac{E}{E_0} ight)^\eta$
critical	$\eta = 0$	$\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_{eq}^2} \sim 2\alpha \log\left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)$

 $\eta > 0$ integral converges

Broad classification remains valid as long as functions are monotonic, namely A(E)

- For large negative η the distribution becomes very narrow
- In terms of entropy the integral becomes

$$\int_{S_0}^S {dS'\over \hat{A}^2(S')}$$
poarder line when $\ S\sim t^2$ (time measures number of cycles)

Derivation



- Assume impulse short enough that position doesn't change (in general not needed)
- Let system equilibrate between pulses (quasi-static)
- Allow for general distribution of frequencies $g(\omega)$

Using fact that between impulses system equilibrates

$$ho(x,v) \propto e^{-eta(E)E} \qquad \qquad E=p^2+rac{kx^2}{2}$$

average over initial positions in eq. to obtain the first and second cumulants of the work



Comment - results will not change if act on several oscillators and will show completely general

Since we are essentially dealing with a quasi-static process we can describe the evolution of the energy by a Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t P = -\partial_E (A(E)P) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{EE} (B(E)P)$$

but with $\beta B = 2A$ and time the number of impulses (Noted before in chaotic particles -C. Jarzynski 93, D. Cohen 99, Ott 79, Chirikov 70s)

$$\sigma^{2}(E) = \sigma_{0}^{2} \frac{A^{2}(E)}{A^{2}(E_{0})} + 2A^{2}(E) \int_{E_{0}}^{E} \frac{dE'}{A^{2}(E')\beta(E')}$$

$$r < \frac{3}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma^2(E) = \sigma_{eq}^2(E) \frac{1}{3/2 - r}$$
$$2 \ge r > \frac{3}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma^2(E) = C\sigma_{eq}^2(E)E^{r-3/2}$$

<u>General derivation via Crooks equality (Evans, Galavoti, Cohen, Jarzynski.....)</u> (will worry about I/N corrections)

Recall - I. Liouville's theorem quantum mechanically - unitarity (volumes in phase space are conserved under dynamics)

2. Hamiltonian - $\mathcal{H}(\lambda(t))$ 3. For a given λ dynamics have time reversal symmetry

Consider changing $\lambda(t)$ on isolated system. $0 < t < \tau$

Forward direction - $\lambda(t)$

Backward direction - $\lambda(\tau - t)$

P. Pradhan, Y. Kafri, D. Levine,, PRE 77, 041129 (2008)





Isolated system - phase space (microcanonical)

Then

$$\frac{P_F(W, E)}{P_R(-W, E + W)} = \frac{\Sigma_{E+W}}{\Sigma_E} = \exp(S_{\lambda_F}(E + W) - S_{\lambda_i}(E))$$

in our case $\lambda_F = \lambda_i$

Easy to obtain the same for quantum taking equilibrium density matrix and unitary evolution



For **periodic** driving

$$\frac{P_F(W, E)}{P_R(-W, E+W)} = \frac{\Sigma_{E+W}}{\Sigma_E} = \exp(S(E+W) - S(E))$$

Using

$$S(E+W) - S(E) \simeq \beta W - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{eq}^2} W^2 \qquad \text{need} \quad \beta W \ll C_v$$

$$P_R(-W, E+W) = P_R(-W, E) + W\partial_E P_R(-W, E)$$

Therefore, to leading order in I/N the Crooks equality (G. E. Crooks PRE, 60, 2721, 1999)

$$\frac{P_F(W, E)}{P_R(-W, E)} = \exp(\beta W)$$

$$\frac{P_F(W, E)}{P_R(-W, E)} = \exp(\beta W)$$

Not surprising that we get the Jarzynski relation to leading order

$$\langle e^{-\beta W} \rangle = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N})$$

(C. Jarzynski, PRL, 78, 2690 (1997))

With the relation established for an *isolated* system to get the Fokker-Planck equation look at cumulant of the work from (everything up to I/N) $\ln \langle e^{-\beta W} \rangle$

$$\beta \langle W^2 \rangle_c = 2 \langle W \rangle + \mathcal{O}(1/N)$$

$$\beta B = 2A + \mathcal{O}(1/N)$$

$$\partial_t P = -\partial_E (A(E)P) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{EE} (B(E)P)$$

For Fokker-Planck to be valid need to demand third cumulant small

$$\beta^2 \langle w^3(E) \rangle_c \ll \langle w(E) \rangle_c$$

This is the quasi-static demand

Different derivation found in C. Jarzynski 93, D. Cohen 99, Ott 79

So far, Isolated system

The ideas can be generalized to account for a system that is driven and coupled to a bath



Two dimensional Fokker-Planck equation is reduced to one variable (E_1) the following fluctuation-dissipation relation holds

$$\partial_t P = -\partial_{E_1} \left(A_1 P \right) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{E_1}^2 \left(B_{11} P \right)$$

$$2A_1 - 2\beta_2 / \beta_1 A_F = (\beta_1 - \beta_2) B_{11}$$

Drive in reduced equation

Diffusion coefficient of reduced equation

First Case: No Driving - Just Dissipation (equilibrating)





Assume $S = S_1(E_1) + S_2(E_2)$ - weak interactions between systems

$$\langle e^{\Delta S_1 + \Delta S_2} \rangle \simeq \langle e^{-(\beta_2 - \beta_1)\Delta E_B} \rangle = 1$$

Attaching two systems, equilibration

Isolated system, external drive

$$\sigma^{2}(E) = \sigma_{0}^{2} \frac{A^{2}(E)}{A^{2}(E_{0})} + 2A^{2}(E) \int_{E_{0}}^{E} \frac{dE'}{A^{2}(E')\beta_{1}(E')}$$



Two isolated systems, weak interaction (slightly modified fluctuation dissipation relation $2A = (\beta_1 - \beta_2)B$).

$$\sigma_{1}^{2}(E) = \sigma_{1_{0}}^{2} \frac{A^{2}(E)}{A^{2}(E_{0})} + 2A^{2}(E) \int_{E_{0}}^{E} \frac{dE'}{A^{2}(E') \left[\beta_{1}(E') - \beta_{2}(E_{2})\right]}$$



- Fluctuation dynamics of full equilibration process.
- External drive case is formally recovered by taking $T_2 \rightarrow \infty$ ($\beta_2 = 0$).



Equilibrating systems: simulations

Hard spheres in a box, two different masses





 $N_{light} = 30$ $N_{heavy} = 20$

Second Case: Driven Dissipative



Can write expression for time evolutions of variance. Present results only for steady-state Driven-Dissipative setting: at steady-state

Relation between relaxation time, energy flow and fluctuations:





Derivation - essentially using Fokker-Planck at steady state

Near steady state

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{\tau}e_1$$
, $B_{11} = B_s$ with $e_1 \equiv E_1 - E_1^0$
 $\partial_t e_1 = -e_1/\tau + \sqrt{B_s}\eta$

Implies

$$\langle e_1(t_1) e_1(t_2) \rangle = \frac{B_s \tau}{2} e^{-|t_2 - t_1|/\tau}$$

 $\sigma_1^2 = B_s \tau/2$

In addition the fluctuation dissipation relation implies at steady-state

$$-2\beta_2/\beta_1 A_F = (\beta_1 - \beta_2) B_{11}$$

Third Case: Driven by two external baths



Can write expression for time evolutions of variance. Present results only for steady-state



Again a relation between the relaxation time, energy fluctuations and rate of energy injection

$$A_F \tau = \frac{(\beta_1 - \beta_2)(\beta_3 - \beta_1)}{(\beta_3 - \beta_2)} \sigma^2$$

<u>Summary</u>

• For driven isolated systems (noisy potential, driving on purpose...)



- Simple expression history dependent (in contrast to heating with thermal bath)
- Broadly two different regimes equilibrium like and wide run away
- Can show hold for other examples (XY model in Id, TF Ising model (quantum))
- Generalizing to thermalizing systems (teas cups)
- Generalizing to driven dissipative systems (fluctuation dissipation like relation)
- Generalize to drive by two external baths