

The Universe's Lepton Number

*Nicole Bell
Fermilab*

KITP Santa Barbara – 7th March 2002.

1

Outline

- Significance of large lepton number
- Constraints on relic neutrino asymmetries
 - Big Bang Nucleosynthesis & Cosmic Microwave Background
(Steigman & Tytler talks)
- Confirmation of the large mixing angle (LMA) solution to the solar neutrino problem → Tighter constraint on the Universe's lepton number

2

Baryon & Lepton Asymmetries

Baryon asymmetry: $B = \frac{n_b - n_{\bar{b}}}{n_\gamma} \sim 10^{-10}$

Lepton asymmetry: → only very weak constraints.

Charge neutrality of the universe prevents a large asymmetry in the charged leptons, but a large lepton number could reside in the neutrino sector.

How would we know?

3

Theoretical predictions:

- L is related to B (e.g. Lepto/Baryogenesis scenarios in which the two are connected via sphaleron transitions which freeze out near the electroweak phase transition). $L \sim 10^{-10}$
- L and B are unrelated if EW symmetry was never restored.
- L is much bigger than B, e.g. Afleck-Dine baryogenesis scenarios in SUSY models.
- Large L is generated at temperatures below the EW scale, eg via active-sterile neutrino oscillations. $L \sim 0.1$
- Etc, etc..

4

Relic neutrino background

In thermal equilibrium, the neutrinos will have Fermi-Dirac distributions:

$$f(p, \xi) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(p/T - \xi)}$$

Lepton asymmetries imply chemical potentials: $\xi_\nu = -\xi_{\bar{\nu}}$


Lepton asymmetries:
$$L_\alpha = \frac{n_{\nu_\alpha} - n_{\bar{\nu}_\alpha}}{n_\gamma} = \frac{\pi^2}{12\zeta(3)} \left(\xi_\alpha + \frac{\xi_\alpha^3}{\pi^2} \right)$$

Such degeneracies increase the effective number of species in equilibrium:

$$\Delta N_\nu = \frac{30}{7} \left(\frac{\xi}{\pi} \right)^2 + \frac{15}{7} \left(\frac{\xi}{\pi} \right)^4$$

5

Chemical potentials:



The process: $e^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow \nu + \bar{\nu}$

maintains chemical equilibrium such that: $\xi_\nu = -\xi_{\bar{\nu}}$

6

The Universe's Lepton Number

Unlike the CMB photons, the relic neutrino background has never been directly detected -- but we can infer its existence and some of its properties through indirect means:

Today, $T_\nu \sim 2\text{K}$, so :

If $m > 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$, the relic neutrinos should be non-relativistic today.

Given the solar and atmospheric mass squared differences:

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{\delta m_{atm}^2} &> 0.04 \text{ eV} \\ \sqrt{\delta m_{solar(LMA)}^2} &> 0.004 \text{ eV}\end{aligned}$$

at least 2 of the 3 neutrino states are non-relativistic today.

7

Neutrino contribution to the matter density:

What fraction of the total energy density of the universe is in the form of neutrinos?

Energy density = mass x number density

$$\rho_\nu = m_\nu n_\nu$$

Large scale structure can tell us about ρ . (Dodelson's talk.)

So, *provided we have a good understanding of n* , we can "weigh" neutrinos with cosmology


2dF + WMAP \rightarrow sum of the 3 neutrino masses $< 0.7 \text{ eV}$

This limit assumes n has the standard value with zero lepton number

8

The Universe's Lepton Number

Galaxy surveys, for example, like 2dF or the SDSS can probe ρ_ν by measuring the power spectrum on small scales.



Hu et al (1998)

9

Constraints on relic neutrino asymmetries...

...in the absence of neutrino mixing

BBN+CMB set weak bounds on the lepton numbers:

e.g. Hansen et al. (2001)

Very weak bound for $\nu_{\mu,\tau}$: $|\xi_{\mu,\tau}| < 2.6$

Stronger bound for ν_e : $-0.01 < \xi_e < 0.22$

Note that BBN and CMB probe completely different epochs of the universe.

10

Measuring N_ν with the CMB

-The CMB can be used to probe the relativistic energy density.

CMB is sensitive to the epoch of matter radiation equality – this can be shifted by increasing N_ν , which alters the height of the first peak.

Pre-WMAP: $N_\nu < 13$ (Hannestad).

Post-Wmap: $N_\nu < 5$ (Crotty et al, Hannestad).

Future precision with Planck: $\Delta N_\nu < 0.1$ (Bowen et al; Lopez et al.)



11

Neutrinos and Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

→Steigman's talk

Temperature ~ MeV

Neutron to proton ratio set by the processes:



Practically all the neutrons eventually end up in Helium nuclei. All the leftover protons form Hydrogen.

12

The Universe's Lepton Number

$$n/p \approx \exp[-(m_n - m_p)/T]$$

These process “freeze out” when:

Interaction rate < Expansion rate

Expansion rate \propto energy density

$$H = \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \propto \rho_{\text{radiation}}$$

expansion rate \rightarrow contribution from $\gamma, e, \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ + antiparticles

13

If there were extra neutrinos (or any other relativistic particles)

- The universe would expand faster
- Weak interaction rates would freeze out earlier
- Larger n/p ratio and hence more Helium

Successful nucleosynthesis puts constraints on the expansion rate.. and therefore tells us how many relativistic particles species were in thermal equilibrium.

14

ν_e directly affects neutron-proton equilibrium:

$$\begin{aligned}
 n + \nu_e &\leftrightarrow p + e^- \\
 n + e^+ &\leftrightarrow p + \bar{\nu}_e
 \end{aligned}
 \quad n/p \approx \exp\left[-(m_n - m_p)/T - \xi_e\right]$$

If there were an electron neutrino asymmetry:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{eg. } n(\nu_e) &> n(\bar{\nu}_e) \\
 \Rightarrow n/p &\downarrow \\
 Y_p &\downarrow
 \end{aligned}$$

15

BBN (+CMB) constraint:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\xi_{\mu,\tau}| &< 2.6 \\
 -0.01 &< \xi_e < 0.22
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the upper limits can only be obtained **in tandem**.
 This is the degenerate BBN scenario in which the effects of the asymmetry in ν_e is compensated by faster expansion rate due to extra energy density.

Without this compensation the limit for ν_e would be:

$$|\xi_e| \lesssim 0.04$$

16

How do neutrino oscillations change things?

- Active-sterile mixing
 - LSND inspired mixing schemes
- Active-active mixing
 - Oscillations with the solar and atmospheric parameters.

17

Sterile neutrinos?

Active -sterile oscillations in the early universe:

$$\nu_{\text{active}} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\text{sterile}}$$

would thermalise the sterile neutrinos...

..and we know that BBN works more or less OK with just three neutrinos

In fact, successful BBN sets stringent bounds on active-sterile oscillation parameters:

eg. Assuming a BBN bound of $N_\nu < 3.4$

Naive constraint on $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_s$ or $\nu_\tau \leftrightarrow \nu_s$ mixing :

$$|\delta m^2 (\sin^2 2\theta)^{1.6}| < 10^{-7} \text{ eV}^2$$

e.g. Dolgov; Enqvist, Kainulainen & Thomson.

18

The Universe's Lepton Number

BBN says $N < 4 \rightarrow$ Sterile neutrinos are cosmologically disfavoured if they mix significantly with active neutrinos.

ALL "3+1" and "2+2" models which accommodate LSND are problematic for BBN.

See di Bari(2001); Abazajian (2002) for recent analyses.

HOWEVER... there are ways out...

- Equilibration of the sterile is avoided if a lepton asymmetry is present \rightarrow the mixing angle is suppressed due to the refractive index Foot & Volkas (1995)
- Some other much more exotic scenarios...
...low reheating scenarios, coherent majoron fields, etc ...

If MiniBooNE were to confirm LSND, it would be of enormous cosmological significance.

19

Matter affected oscillation parameters

$$\sin^2 2\theta_m = \frac{\sin^2 2\theta_0}{\sin^2 2\theta_0 + (2EV / \delta m^2 - \cos^2 2\theta_0)}$$

$$\delta m_{eff}^2 = \delta m^2 \sqrt{\sin^2 2\theta_0 + (2EV / \delta m^2 - \cos^2 2\theta_0)}$$

$V =$ effective matter potential \rightarrow refractive index term

20

Matter potential

$$V_\alpha(p) = [\mp A(p) + B(p)] \quad -/+ \text{ for neutrinos/antineutrinos}$$

$$A(p) = -\sqrt{2}G_F \frac{2\zeta(3)T^3}{\pi^2} L^{(\alpha)} \quad \text{Usual Wolfenstein term}$$

$$B(p) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}G_F 2\zeta(3)A_\alpha T^4 p}{\pi^2 m_W^2} \quad \text{finite temperature term}$$

$$L^{(\alpha)} = L_\alpha + L_e + L_\mu + L_\tau + \eta$$

$$\eta \sim 10^{-10} \text{ come from the baryon asymmetry}$$

21

Rate of production of steriles:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\alpha \rightarrow s} &= \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\text{collisions}} \langle P_{\text{osc}}(v_\alpha \rightarrow v_s) \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\text{collisions}} \langle \sin^2 2\theta_{\text{matter}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

A lepton number of $L \sim 0.01$ is sufficient to suppress oscillations at δm_{lsnd}^2 so that the sterile is not brought into thermal equilibrium before weak freezeout.

If MiniBoone were to find evidence for sterile neutrinos, it would be an indication for such a large pre-existing lepton asymmetry.

Note that the large scale structure bound $\Sigma m_\nu < 0.69$ applies only to states that are in thermal equilibrium. i.e. – its not necessarily a constraint on the “heavy” sterile in a 3+1 scenario.

22

Active-Active Oscillations

Oscillations between active neutrino species have received much less attention than active sterile oscillations because:

1. Oscillations do nothing if we have equal numbers of each flavour
But there may very well be asymmetries between the flavours.
2. Its a much harder problem.
Neutrino-neutrino forward scattering makes things non-linear
resulting in highly non-trivial dynamics.

Savage, Malaney & Fuller. Kostelecky, Pantaleone & Samuel.

23

LMA Solar Neutrino Solution

The Large Mixing Angle solution has been confirmed as correct resolution of the solar neutrino anomaly → KamLAND & SNO

Best fit mixing parameters: $\delta m_0^2 \approx 4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$
 $\sin^2 2\theta_0 \approx 0.8$

Large-angle mixing could potentially equilibrate the flavours.

Savage, Malaney & Fuller (1991); Lunardini and Smirnov (2001).

Matter effects are quite significant and must be included to determine if equilibration would take place before weak freeze-out.

Dolgov et al. (2002); Abazajian, Beacom and Bell (2002); Wong (2002).

24

The Universe' s Lepton Number

Density matrix parameterization:

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{ee} & \rho_{e\mu} \\ \rho_{\mu e} & \rho_{\mu\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{P}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + P_z & P_x + iP_y \\ P_x - iP_y & 1 - P_z \end{pmatrix}$$

"Polarisation" vector:

$$\mathbf{P} = (P_x, P_y, P_z)$$

Oscillations are described by the precession of the P vector
-- just like a spin precessing in a magnetic field.

25

Evolution equations:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}_p = (\mathbf{A}_p + \alpha \mathbf{I}) \times \mathbf{P}_p$$

$$\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p = (-\mathbf{A}_p + \alpha \mathbf{I}) \times \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p$$

\mathbf{A} =vacuum mixing term + non-neutrino background
 \mathbf{I} = neutrino-neutrino forward scattering term

$$\mathbf{A}_p = \frac{\delta m_0^2}{2p} (\sin 2\theta_0 \hat{x} - \cos 2\theta_0 \hat{z}) + V_B \hat{z}$$

$$\mathbf{I} = \int \frac{d^3(p/T)}{(2\pi)^3} [\mathbf{P}_p - \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p]$$

26

The Universe's Lepton Number


Behaviour of single mode in absence of ν - ν forward scattering term:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}_p \approx \mathbf{A}_p \times \mathbf{P}_p$$


$$\mathbf{A}_p = \frac{\delta m_0^2}{2p} (\sin 2\theta_0 \hat{x} - \cos 2\theta_0 \hat{z}) - \frac{8\sqrt{2}G_F p}{3M_W^2} E_e \hat{z}$$

- The thermal potential is initially large and decreases slowly as the temperature falls
- \mathbf{A} rotates from the Z-axis to a direction specified by the vacuum mixing parameters.
- The polarisation vector is initially aligned with \mathbf{A} , and follows \mathbf{A} as it makes this transition – this is just an adiabatic MSW transition

27



28



Savage, Malaney & Fuller (1991)

The neutrino-neutrino forward scattering term makes the problem highly non-linear.

Note that this term includes both diagonal and off-diagonal refractive indices, the off-diagonal contributions coming from forward scattering processes of the type:

$$\nu_\alpha(p) + \nu_\beta(k) \rightarrow \nu_\alpha(k) + \nu_\beta(p) \quad \text{Pantaleone (1992).}$$

Also, Friedland & Lunardini, in preparation.

The non-linear term dominates in size as long as the initial asymmetry is larger than about:

$$L > 10^{-5}$$

...that is, it dominates for all initial asymmetries of interest.

30

The Universe' s Lepton Number

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}_p = (\mathbf{A}_p + \alpha \mathbf{I}) \times \mathbf{P}_p$$

$$\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p = (-\mathbf{A}_p + \alpha \mathbf{I}) \times \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p$$

$$\mathbf{I} = \int dp (\mathbf{P}_p - \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p)$$

31

Synchronisation

- In the absence of neutrino-neutrino forward scattering, each momentum mode has a different oscillation frequency.
- Including ν - ν forward scattering pins all the momentum modes together so they oscillate in sync.

Kostelecky, Pantaleone and Samuel.

The polarisation vector for each momentum mode is pinned to the collective polarisation vector \mathbf{I} ..like a collection of magnetic moments.

Pastor, Raffelt and Semikoz (2002)

32

The Universe' s Lepton Number

The evolution of the collective polarisation is determined according to:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{I} \approx \mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}} \times \mathbf{I}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}} \approx \frac{1}{\mathbf{I}^2} \int \mathbf{A}_p (\mathbf{P}_p + \bar{\mathbf{P}}_p) \bullet \mathbf{I}$$

When the neutrino self potential dominates, it synchronises the ensemble so that all neutrinos behave as though they have the same effective momentum.

33

Parameters describing the evolution of the collective polarisation:

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{eff}} \approx \Delta_{\text{sync}} (\sin 2\theta_{\text{sync}} \hat{x} - \cos 2\theta_{\text{sync}} \hat{z})$$

$$\Delta_{\text{sync}} \propto \xi \quad \text{Very sensitive to initial asymmetry.}$$

Effective mixing angle , however is insensitive to the initial asymmm:

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\text{sync}} = \frac{\sin^2 2\theta_0}{\sin^2 2\theta_0 + [\cos 2\theta_0 - V_B(p_{\text{sync}})]^2}$$



$$\frac{P_{\text{sync}}}{T} = \pi \sqrt{1 + \xi^2 / 2\pi^2} \approx \pi$$

Abazajian, Beacom and Bell (2002);
Wong (2002).


It is this “synchronised mixing angle” that determines when the flavour equilibration occurs.

34


The Universe' s Lepton Number



The Universe' s Lepton Number



37



38

The Universe' s Lepton Number

So far we have included the solar and atmospheric mixing angles.

What about U_{e3} ?


If this mixing angle is non-zero, partial equilibration takes place at about 4 MeV

Collisional processes?

These are still operating above a few MeV – and they also help equilibration.

→ Cannot avoid equilibration of the electron neutrino with the other flavours.

39



40

The Universe's Lepton Number

New Constraints:

$$\xi_e^f \approx \left(\frac{1 - \cos 2\theta_0}{2} \right) \xi_\mu^i$$

Using the best fit value of the LMA mixing angle $\sin^2 2\theta_0 \approx 0.8$

$$\xi_e^f < 0.04 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \xi_\mu^i < 0.3$$

Collisional processes will help make the equilibration more complete, as does non-zero U_{e3} .

Degenerate BBN is eliminated since chemical potentials in any flavour will effectively impact neutron-proton equilibrium.

41

What does this mean?

LMA solar neutrino solution → close to complete flavour equilibration just before BBN, which sets the best limit on the lepton number of the universe:

Taking, *very conservatively*: $\xi_\mu < 0.3$

the new limit is:

$$\Delta N_\nu < 0.04$$

HUGE improvement over the old limit: $\Delta N_\nu < \text{a few}$

Dolgov et al.; Wong; Abazajian, Beacom & Bell.

Implication: no uncertainty on n in: $\rho_\nu = m_\nu n_\nu$

42

Summary

- Sterile neutrinos are cosmologically disfavoured...thought constraints can be avoided if neutrino asymmetry exists.
- The LMA (large mixing angle) solar neutrino solution
 - equilibration of neutrino flavours just before weak freezeout
- The equilibration takes place via an MSW transition, synchronised across momentum modes due to neutrino-neutrino forward scattering.
- The stringent constraints on ν_e apply to all three flavours
- If a non-standard contribution to the relativistic energy density were to be detected, say, via the CMB, its origin would be something more exotic than neutrino degeneracy, since we have a very tight limit on the relic neutrino number density.

43