

Superfluids and the Cosmological Constant Problem



Adam R. Solomon
Carnegie Mellon University

With Justin Khoury (UPenn) & Jeremy Sakstein (Hawaii)
1805.05937 (JCAP)

“The cosmological constant problem is the unwanted child of two pillars of twentieth century physics: quantum field theory and general relativity.”

Tony Padilla

The CC problem in a nutshell

- ❖ The energy of the vacuum gravitates as a cosmological constant: $T_{\mu\nu} \sim \frac{\rho_{\text{vac}}}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} g_{\mu\nu}$
- ❖ Massive fields: $\rho_{\text{vac}} \sim m^4$
- ❖ Electron vacuum energy alone would lead to de Sitter horizon $\sim 10^6$ km
 - ❖ Pauli: the radius of the world “nicht einmal bis zum Mond reichen würde” (would not even reach the Moon!)

Where is the vacuum energy?

- ❖ The problem gets worse with more particle species:

$$\frac{\rho_{\text{vac,electron}}}{\rho_{\text{vac,obs}}} \sim 10^{32}$$

$$\frac{\rho_{\text{vac,SM}}}{\rho_{\text{vac,obs}}} \sim 10^{54}$$

$$\frac{\rho_{\text{vac,Planck}}}{\rho_{\text{vac,obs}}} \sim 10^{121}$$

- ❖ “The worst theoretical prediction in the history of physics!”

Cosmological constant problems old and new

- ❖ Can split into two (logically distinct?) CC problems:
 - ❖ “Old problem”: why does an enormous vacuum energy not gravitate?
 - ❖ “New problem”: why is there some residual acceleration anyway?
- ❖ Often treated separately! Solve one while ignoring the other
- ❖ This talk: focus on the **old problem**

Some approaches to the old problem

- ❖ **Anthropics**: if Λ were bigger, we wouldn't be around to remark on it
- ❖ $\overline{\setminus} _ (\text{ツ}) _ / \overline{\setminus}$
- ❖ Could follow from string landscape + eternal inflation
- ❖ Dimopoulos: danger of “premature application”

Some approaches to the old problem

- ❖ **Modifications of gravity**: leave Λ alone, but change how it gravitates
- ❖ **Degravitation**: weaken gravitational response to long-wavelength sources
- ❖ **Self-tuning**: introduce new field(s) which dynamically counteract Λ

Self-tuning and our modest goal

- ❖ We will set a modest goal: field equations solved by Minkowski for arbitrary Λ
- ❖ Necessary but not sufficient condition for solving old CC problem
- ❖ Other criteria: UV insensitivity, radiative stability, no pathologies, agreement with experiments, reproduce observed cosmological history, etc.!
- ❖ We'll address some but not all of these

Weinberg's famous no-go theorem

- ❖ Weinberg (1988); see also Padilla review 1502.05296
- ❖ Self-tuning runs into a famous obstruction due to Weinberg

- ❖ Assume some fields ϕ^A “eat up” vacuum energy,

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\phi} = -T_{\mu\nu}^{\Lambda}$$

- ❖ Assume *Poincaré-invariant* vacua,

$$\phi^A = \text{const}, \quad g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

Weinberg's famous no-go theorem: two possibilities

- ❖ **Fine-tuning**: only cancels out one specific value of Λ
- ❖ **Scaling symmetry**: Particle masses also vanish; **not physical**

Evading Weinberg's theorem

- ❖ Any no-go theorem has assumptions, pointing the way forward
- ❖ Common approach: *break Poincaré invariance*

$$\Phi^A = \Phi^A(x^\mu), \quad g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

- ❖ *e.g.*, in cosmology, we might have fields with time dependence
- ❖ To get flat space, fields must be accompanied by derivatives
- ❖ To leading order in EFT, one derivative per field

Warmup: one scalar

❖ Can we degravitate with a single scalar?

❖ **No.**

❖ Why?

❖ At leading order in derivatives, most general action is

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} [R - 2\Lambda + m^2 P(X)], \quad X \equiv (\partial\Phi)^2$$

❖ NB: this is the EFT of a zero-temperature superfluid

❖ The total stress tensor is

$$\frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \left(P g_{\mu\nu} - 2 P_X \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi \right) - \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}, \quad P_X \equiv \frac{\partial P}{\partial X}$$

❖ In order to have flat solutions for arbitrary Λ , this must vanish:

1. $P_X = 0$

2. $m^2 P(X) = 2\Lambda$

❖ The latter requires *fine-tuning*.

❖ *e.g.*, ghost condensate, $P(X) = X + \lambda X^2/2$

❖ $P_X = 0$ solved by $X = -\lambda^{-1}$. But $m^2 P = 2\Lambda$ only if λ is carefully tuned against Λ !

$$\lambda = -\frac{m^2}{4\Lambda}$$

Warmer up: Four scalars

- ❖ Fine-tuning not a problem with four scalars Φ^A
- ❖ Consider a simple (and trivially wrong) model:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \left[R - 2\Lambda - m^2 \eta_{AB} \partial_\mu \Phi^A \partial^\mu \Phi^B \right]$$

- ❖ The stress tensor no longer has an inhomogeneous term when $\Phi^A \sim x^A$:

$$\frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \left(-\eta_{AB} \partial_\alpha \Phi^A \partial^\alpha \Phi^B g_{\mu\nu} + 2\eta_{AB} \partial_\mu \Phi^A \partial_\nu \Phi^B \right) - \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$$

❖ This admits flat solutions $\Phi^A = \alpha x^A$, with

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{m}$$

❖ Degravitates any negative Λ without fine-tuning!

❖ Key: instead of tuning theory parameters against Λ , tune *integration constant* α to Λ

❖ Tuning is achieved *dynamically*

- ❖ Fatal problem: Φ^0 is a **ghost**

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} &= \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \left[R - 2\Lambda - m^2 \eta_{AB} \partial_\mu \Phi^A \partial^\mu \Phi^B \right] \\ &= \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \left[R - 2\Lambda + m^2 (\partial\Phi^0)^2 - m^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial\Phi^i)^2 \right]\end{aligned}$$

- ❖ Direct result of internal Lorentz symmetry, which is what we used to remove inhomogeneous term!
- ❖ Blessing and a curse
- ❖ Can we self-tune without a ghost?

Ghosts and massive gravity

- ❖ The ghost is easy to understand if we recognize this is a theory of **massive gravity**
- ❖ Why? Consider adding to GR a non-derivative interaction

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \left[R - 2\Lambda - m^2 g^{\mu\nu} \eta_{\mu\nu} \right]$$

- ❖ This breaks diff invariance due to $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. Can restore diffs by introducing **Stückelberg fields** Φ^A ,

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \eta_{AB} \partial_\mu \Phi^A \partial_\nu \Phi^B$$

- ❖ And we recover the action discussed in the previous slides

Massive gravity and degravitation

- ❖ This connects to an old and venerable story:

Massive graviton

→ finite range of gravity

→ gravity acts as “high-pass filter” screening out sources with wavelengths $\gg m^{-1}$

- ❖ Λ is infinite-wavelength source!

- ❖ Massive gravity at linear level: Fierz-Pauli (1939) $h_{\mu\nu}h^{\mu\nu} - (h^\mu{}_\mu)^2$

- ❖ Other linear mass terms have ghosts, just like the example we discussed

Massive gravity and degravitation

- ❖ Non-linear: ghost re-emerges! (Boulware-Deser, 1972)
- ❖ Unique non-linear, ghost-free, Lorentz-invariant massive gravity: de Rham-Gabadadze-Tolley (dRGT, 2010)
- ❖ Ghost-free massive gravity **cannot degravitate** large Λ without violating solar system tests of GR (1010.1780)
- ❖ This means we cannot use a Lorentz-invariant theory,

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \left[R - 2\Lambda + m^2 U(\partial_\mu \Phi^A, \eta_{AB}, \varepsilon_{ABCD}) \right]$$

Is Lorentz invariance too strong a requirement?

❖ For cosmology, we only need $SO(3)$, not $SO(3,1)$

❖ Idea: break internal boosts

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \left[R - 2\Lambda + m^2 U(\partial_\mu \Phi^0, \partial_\mu \Phi^i, \delta_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ijk}) \right]$$

❖ Aim: use newfound freedom to avoid ghosts (and other pathologies) while retaining degravitation

❖ Look for: for physically sensible degravitating models with

$$\Phi^0 = \alpha t, \quad \Phi^i = \beta x^i \quad \text{such that} \quad T_{\mu\nu}^\Phi = M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$$

Interpreting our theory

- ❖ Complementary physical interpretations of this type of theory:
 1. Lorentz-violating massive gravity
 2. Low-energy EFT of self-gravitating fluid
- ❖ Difference hinges only on **coordinate choice**

Lorentz-violating massive gravity as a fluid EFT

- ❖ Consider the fields $\Phi^A = \Phi^A(t, \mathbf{x})$ to be **comoving (Lagrangian) coordinates** of a fluid
- ❖ Fluid rest frame is a coordinate system in which $\Phi^A = \alpha x^A$
- ❖ EFT describing excitations of fluid is a derivative expansion in Φ^A obeying any relevant symmetries

Building blocks and symmetry

- ❖ At leading order in derivatives, action is built out of

$$C^{AB} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi^A \partial_\nu \Phi^B \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{L} = U(C^{00}, C^{0i}, C^{ij})$$

- ❖ Choice of operators determines symmetry-breaking pattern and hence fluid, e.g.,

- ❖ Solids: $\mathcal{L} = U(C^{ij})$

- ❖ Zero-temperature superfluids: $\mathcal{L} = U(C^{00})$

- ❖ Finite-temperature superfluids:

$$\mathcal{L} = U(C^{00}, \det C^{ij}, \det C^{AB})$$

The importance of coordinates

- ❖ If we move to the fluid rest frame, $\Phi^A = \alpha x^A$, then we recover the Lorentz-violating massive gravity picture:

$$U(C^{00}, C^{0i}, C^{ij}) \xrightarrow{\Phi^A = \alpha x^A} U(h_{00}, h_{0i}, h_{ij})$$

- ❖ This is **unitary gauge**

- ❖ e.g., $X = C^{00} = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi^0 \partial_\nu \Phi^0 \xrightarrow{\Phi^A = \alpha x^A} C^{00} = \alpha^2 g^{00}$

- ❖ \rightarrow Potential for g^{00}

Criteria for degravitation

- ❖ **Existence of a Minkowski (degravitating) solution:** equations of motion must be solved by $g = \eta$ for arbitrary Λ
- ❖ **No fine-tuning:** Tune integration constants, not model parameters, against Λ
- ❖ **Massless tensors:** Tensor mass generically is huge, $m \sim O(\Lambda^{1/2})$, unless they are exactly massless. (LIGO: $m < 10^{-22}$ eV)

Criteria for degravitation

- ❖ **No pathologies:** No ghosts, tachyons, gradient instabilities, infinite strong coupling, instantaneous modes
- ❖ **UV insensitivity:** Higher-derivative EFT corrections should not introduce new modes at low energy

Strategy

1. Identify parameter space of Lorentz-violating massive gravity which satisfies these criteria
2. Look for **symmetries** that protect our parameter choice
3. Determine building blocks for non-linear action
4. Solve cosmological constant problem (incomplete)

Analysis

- ❖ Work in unitary gauge at linear level,

$$\Phi^A = (\alpha t, \beta x^i), \quad g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

- ❖ Most general $\text{SO}(3)$ -invariant mass term
Dubovsky hep-th/0409124

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \left(m_0^2 h_{00}^2 + 2m_1^2 h_{0i}^2 - m_2^2 h_{ij}^2 + m_3^2 h_{ii}^2 - 2m_4^2 h_{00} h_{ii} \right)$$

- ❖ Massless tensors: $m_2 = 0$
- ❖ Stability: $m_1 = 0$ (see our paper for gory details! 1805.05937)

Searching for a symmetry

- ❖ With our parameter choice in hand, $m_1 = m_2 = 0$, we need to find a symmetry to protect it
 - ❖ Otherwise we're just fine-tuning and not solving anything!
- ❖ Several candidate symmetries
 - ❖ Most either don't degravitate or are UV-sensitive
 - ❖ Again, details in 1805.05937
- ❖ Only symmetry that works: **time-dependent, volume-preserving spatial diffeomorphisms**

Time-dependent, volume-preserving spatial diffeomorphisms

- ❖ Scalar language:

$$\Phi^i \rightarrow \Psi^i(\Phi^0, \Phi^i) \quad \text{with} \quad \det(\partial\Psi^i/\partial\Phi^j) = 1$$

- ❖ Massive gravity language: break diffs while leaving

$$x^i \rightarrow x^i + \xi^i(t, x^j) \quad \text{with} \quad \partial_i \xi^i = 0$$

- ❖ Closely related to time-*independent* volume-preserving spatial diffs, which set $m_2 = 0$ and forbid massive tensors
- ❖ Adding time dependence further restricts $m_1 = 0$, as needed for stability

Building blocks of degravitation

- ❖ The building blocks invariant under our symmetry,

$$X = (\partial\Phi^0)^2$$

$$Yb = \frac{\det(\partial_\mu \Phi^A)}{\sqrt{-g}}$$

- ❖ Our degravitating theory is therefore

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} [R - 2\Lambda + m^2 U(X, Yb)]$$

- ❖ **Unique** theory that satisfies our criteria
- ❖ This describes a finite-temperature superfluid!
Nicolis 1108.2513

Degravitating solutions in practice

- ❖ Finally, we can see how this all works! Example:

$$U(X, Yb) = \frac{K_1}{2}(X + 1)^2 + \frac{K_2}{2}(Yb)^2$$

Ghost condensate plus term quadratic in Yb

- ❖ Has degravitating solutions!

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Phi^0 = t, \quad \Phi^i = \left(-\frac{4\Lambda}{K_2 m^2} \right)^{1/6} x^i$$

- ❖ Can degravitate any positive Λ for $K_2 < 0$ and vice versa
- ❖ No ghost: $K_1 > 0$

Degravitating solutions in practice

- ❖ Another simple example:

$$U(X, Yb) = -X + \gamma XYb - \frac{\lambda}{2}(Yb)^2$$

- ❖ Degravitating solutions:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Phi^0 = \sqrt{\frac{2\Lambda}{m^2} - \frac{\lambda}{2\gamma^2}} t, \quad \Phi^i = \left(\frac{2\gamma^2\Lambda}{m^2} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^{-1/6} x^i$$

- ❖ Can degravitate any $\Lambda > \lambda m^2 / 4\gamma^2$
- ❖ Ghost-free: $\lambda > 0$

Summary

- ❖ New method for self-tuning Λ by breaking Lorentz
 - ❖ Circumvent (and extend) Weinberg
- ❖ Unique theory: finite-temperature superfluid
- ❖ Next step: see whether this cancellation can occur dynamically