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Outline of the talk

• Statistical mechanics of money
• Debt and financial instability
• Two-class structure of income distribution
• Global inequality in energy consumption
“Money, it’s a gas.”

Pink Floyd
Boltzmann-Gibbs versus Pareto distribution

Boltzmann-Gibbs probability distribution

\[ P(\varepsilon) \propto \exp(-\varepsilon/T) \]

where \( \varepsilon \) is energy, and \( T = \langle \varepsilon \rangle \) is temperature.

Pareto probability distribution

\[ P(r) \propto \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}} \]

of income \( r \).

An analogy between the distributions of energy \( \varepsilon \) and money \( m \) or income \( r \).
Boltzmann-Gibbs probability distribution of money $P(m) \propto \exp(-m/T)$ of money $m$, where $T = \langle m \rangle$ is the money temperature.

Collisions between atoms

Conservation of energy:

$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_1' + \varepsilon_2'$

Boltzmann-Gibbs probability distribution $P(\varepsilon) \propto \exp(-\varepsilon/T)$ of energy $\varepsilon$, where $T = \langle \varepsilon \rangle$ is temperature. It is universal – independent of model rules, provided the model belongs to the time-reversal symmetry class.

Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution maximizes entropy $S = -\sum \varepsilon P(\varepsilon) \ln P(\varepsilon)$ under the constraint of conservation law $\sum \varepsilon P(\varepsilon) \varepsilon = \text{const.}$

Economic transactions between agents

Conservation of money:

$m_1 + m_2 = m_1' + m_2'$

Detailed balance:

$w_{1\rightarrow 1'2}P(m_1) P(m_2) = w_{1'2\rightarrow 12}P(m_1') P(m_2')$
Computer simulation of money redistribution

The stationary distribution of money $m$ is exponential:

$$P(m) \propto e^{-m/T}$$
Money distribution with debt

Debt per person is limited to 800 units.

- In practice, RRR is enforced inconsistently and does not limit total debt.
- Without a constraint on debt, the system does not have a stationary equilibrium.
- Free market itself does not have an intrinsic mechanism for limiting debt, and there is no such thing as the equilibrium debt.

Total debt in the system is limited via the Required Reserve Ratio (RRR):

Income distribution in the USA, 1997

Two-class society

Upper Class
- Pareto power law
- 3% of population
- 16% of income
- Income > 120 k$: investments, capital

Lower Class
- Boltzmann-Gibbs exponential law
- 97% of population
- 84% of income
- Income < 120 k$: wages, salaries

“Thermal” bulk and “super-thermal” tail distribution
Income distribution in the USA, 1983-2001

The rescaled exponential part does not change, but the power-law part changes significantly.
Income distribution in Sweden

The data plot from Fredrik Liljeros and Martin Hällsten, Stockholm University

- Total incomes
- Work
- Capital
- Social transfers
The origin of two classes

- Different sources of income: salaries and wages for the lower class, and capital gains and investments for the upper class.

- Their income dynamics can be described by additive and multiplicative diffusion, correspondingly.

- From the social point of view, these can be the classes of employees and employers, as described by Karl Marx.

- Emergence of classes from the initially equal agents was simulated by Ian Wright “The Social Architecture of Capitalism” *Physica A* **346**, 589 (2005), see also the upcoming book “Classical Econophysics” (2009)
Diffusion model for income kinetics

Suppose income changes by small amounts $\Delta r$ over time $\Delta t$. Then $P(r,t)$ satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation for $0 < r < \infty$:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( AP + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (BP) \right), \quad A = -\left\langle \frac{\Delta r}{\Delta t} \right\rangle, \quad B = \left\langle \frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2\Delta t} \right\rangle.$$

For a stationary distribution, $\partial_t P = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} (BP) = -AP$.

For the lower class, $\Delta r$ are independent of $r$ – additive diffusion, so $A$ and $B$ are constants. Then, $P(r) \propto \exp(-r/T)$, where $T = B/A$, – an exponential distribution.

For the upper class, $\Delta r \propto r$ – multiplicative diffusion, so $A = ar$ and $B = br^2$. Then, $P(r) \propto 1/r^{\alpha+1}$, where $\alpha = 1 + a/b$, – a power-law distribution.

For the upper class, income does change in percentages, as shown by Fujiwara, Souma, Aoyama, Kaizoji, and Aoki (2003) for the tax data in Japan. For the lower class, the data is not known yet.
Additive and multiplicative income diffusion

If the additive and multiplicative diffusion processes are present simultaneously, then $A = A_0 + ar$ and $B = B_0 + br^2 = b(r_0^2 + r^2)$. The stationary solution of the FP equation is

$$P(r) = \frac{C e^{-\frac{r_0}{T} \arctan\left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)}}{\left[ 1 + (r/r_0)^2 \right]^{1+a/2b}}$$

It interpolates between the exponential and the power-law distributions and has 3 parameters:

- $T = B_0/A_0$ – the temperature of the exponential part
- $\alpha = 1 + a/b$ – the power-law exponent of the upper tail
- $r_0$ – the crossover income between the lower and upper parts.

A measure of inequality, the Gini coefficient is \( G = \frac{\text{Area(diagonal line - Lorenz curve)}}{\text{Area(Triangle beneath diagonal)}} \).

For exponential distribution, \( G = \frac{1}{2} \) and the Lorenz curve is \( y = x \ln(1 - x) \).

With a tail, the Lorenz curve is
\[
y = (1 - f)[x + (1 - x) \ln(1 - x)] + f \Theta(x - 1),
\]
where \( f \) is the tail income, and Gini coefficient is \( G = (1 + f)/2 \).
$f$ - fraction of total income in the tail

\[ f = \frac{\langle r \rangle - T}{\langle r \rangle} \]

$T$ – average income in the exponential part

$\langle r \rangle$ – average income in the whole system

Income inequality peaks during speculative bubbles in the financial market.
"The next great depression will be from 2008 to 2023"


His forecast was based on demographic data: The post-war "baby boomers" generation to invest retirement savings in the stock market massively in the 1990s.

His new book "The Great Depression Ahead", January 2009
The current financial crisis is not the only and, perhaps, not the most important crises that the mankind faces:
- exhaustion of fossil fuels and other natural resources
- global warming caused by CO$_2$ emissions from fossil fuels

Brief history of the biosphere evolution:
- *Plants* consume and store energy from the *Sun* through photosynthesis
- *Animals* eat *plants*, which store *Sun’s energy*
- *Animals* eat *animals*, which eat *plants*, which store *Sun’s energy*
- *Humans* eat all of the above,
  + consume dead plants and animals*(fossil fuels)*, which store *Sun’s energy*

- For *thousands of years*, the progress of human civilization was *biologically limited* by *muscle energy* (of humans or animals) and by *wood fuel*.
- *Couple of centuries* ago, the humans discovered how to massively utilize *Sun’s energy* stored in *fossil fuels* (coal and oil): the era of *industrial revolution* and modern capitalism.
- In a *couple of centuries*, the humans managed to *spend* fossil fuels accumulated for *millions of years*.
- Now this *energy binge* is coming to an *end*. Will humankind manage to find a new way for *sustainable life*? Will *new technology* save us?
Global inequality in energy consumption

Global distribution of energy consumption per person is roughly exponential.

Physiological energy consumption of a human at rest is about 200 W.
Global inequality in energy consumption

The global distribution of energy consumption per person is highly unequal. Its exponential shape is similar to other patterns of inequality (money, income, wealth).

It is also common in ecology for partitioning of a limited resource.
Conclusions

- The probability distribution of money is stable and has an equilibrium only when a boundary condition, such as \( m > 0 \), is imposed.

- When debt is permitted, the distribution of money becomes unstable, unless some sort of a limit on maximal debt is imposed.

- Income distribution in the USA has a two-class structure: exponential (“thermal”) for the great majority (97-99%) of population and power-law (“superthermal”) for the top 1-3% of population.

- The exponential part of the distribution is very stable and does not change in time, except for a slow increase of temperature \( T \) (the average income).

- The power-law tail is not universal and was increasing significantly for the last 20 years. It peaked and crashed in 2000 and 2006 with the speculative bubbles in financial markets.

- The global distribution of energy consumption per person is highly unequal and roughly exponential. This inequality is important in dealing with the global energy problems.
Income distribution for two-earner families

The average family income is $2T$. The most probable family income is $T$. 

\[ r = r' + r'', \quad P_2(r) = \int_0^r P_1(r')P_1(r-r')dr' \propto r \exp(-r/T) \]
Time evolution of the tail parameters

- Pareto tail changes in time non-monotonously, in line with the stock market.
- The Pareto index $\alpha$ in $C(r) \propto 1/r^\alpha$ is non-universal. It changed from 1.7 in 1983 to 1.3 in 2000.
- The tail income swelled 5-fold from 4% in 1983 to 20% in 2000.
- It decreased in 2001 with the crash of the U.S. stock market.
Time evolution of income temperature

The nominal average income $T$ doubled: $20\, \text{k}\$198340 \text{ k}\$2001$, but it is mostly inflation.

(a) Income separating exponential and power-law ($r_*$)

(b) $r_* / T$

(c) Average income ($T$)

(d) Inflation (CPI)

(e) GDP per capita
Wealth distribution in the United Kingdom

For UK in 1996, $T = 60 \text{k£}$

Pareto index $\alpha = 1.9$

Fraction of wealth in the tail $f = 16\%$