

SuperCDMS in a Nutshell

The Definitive Guide

Jodi Cooley SMU/SuperCDMS





Jodi Cooley

WIMP - Nucleus Interaction

Assume that the dark matter is not only gravitationally interacting (WIMP).



Interaction Rate



The Gory Details:

$$F(E_R) \simeq \exp\left(-E_R m_N R_o^2/3\right)$$
$$m_r = \frac{m_\chi m_N}{m_\chi + m_N}$$
$$T(E_R) \simeq \exp(-v_{\min}^2/v_o^2)$$
$$v_{\min} = \sqrt{E_R m_N/(2m_r^2)}$$

"form factor" (quantum mechanics of interaction with nucleus)

"reduced mass"

integral over local WIMP velocity distribution

minimum WIMP velocity for given $E_{\ensuremath{R}}$

Direct Detection Event Rates

- Elastic scattering of WIMP deposits small amounts of energy into a recoiling nucleus (~few 10s of keV)
- Featureless exponential
 spectrum with no obvious
 peak, knee, break ...
- Event rate is very, very low.



 $E_{thresh}[keV]$

- Radioactive background of most materials is higher than the event rate.

The Case for Low Mass Dark Matter



- Much work has gone into looking for the canonical WIMP
 No evidence from direct searches and no evidence of SUSY
 - from LHC
- If we broaden our thoughts and loosen our cosmology or theory priors, we still have reasonable dark matter candidates many with lower masses!

Direct Detection Event Rates

Total rate for different thresholds: (assumed: $m_{\chi} = 10 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, $\sigma_{\chi-n} = 10^{-45} \text{ cm}^2$)

R(Ethresh) [counts/10kg/year]



Challenges

- Low energy thresholds (>10 keV 10s keV)
- Rigid background control
 - Clean materials
 - shielding
 - Iscrimination power
- Substantial depth
 - neutrons look like WIMPs!
- Long exposures

Large masses, long term stability

The CDMS II Collaboration- Circa 2002



The SuperCDMS Collaboration



| California Inst. of Tech CNRS-LPN* Durham University FNAL NISER NIST* Northwestern PNNL Image: South Dakota SM&T Image: South Dakota SM&T <th>The second secon</th> <th>cors</th> <th></th> <th>*</th> <th>A CONTRACTOR</th> <th>NIST</th> | The second secon | cors | | * | A CONTRACTOR | NIST |
|---|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Northwestern Not Not Not SLAC South Dakota SM&T SMU SNOLAB Stanford University Texas A&M University SLAC South Dakota SM&T SMU SNOLAB Stanford University Texas A&M University TRIUMF U.British Columbia U.California.Berkeley U.Colorado Denver U.Evansville U.Forida U.Forida 'Associate members U.Montréal U.Minnesota U.South Dakota U.South Dakota | California Inst. of Tech. | <u>CNRS-LPN</u> * | Durham University | <u>FNAL</u> | <u>NISER</u> | <u>NIST</u> * |
| Northwestern PNNL Queen's University Sata Clara University SLAC South Dakota SM&T Image: SMU Image: Smole B Image: Smole | | Pacific Northwest | | | SLAC | + SCHOLOF MNES |
| Image: Smole Image: Smole <th< td=""><td>Northwestern</td><td>PNNL</td><td>Queen's University</td><td><u>ySanta Clara University</u></td><td><u>SLAC</u></td><td>South Dakota SM&T</td></th<> | Northwestern | PNNL | Queen's University | <u>ySanta Clara University</u> | <u>SLAC</u> | South Dakota SM&T |
| SMU SNOLAB Stanford University Texas A&M University TRIUME U. British Columbia Image: Columbia Co | | SNGLAB | | AM | R | UBC |
| ColorColorado DenverU. EvansvilleU. FloridaU. California, BerkeleyU. Colorado DenverU. EvansvilleU. FloridaL. MontréalL. MinnesotaU. South DakotaU. Toronto | <u>SMU</u> | <u>SNOLAB</u> | Stanford University | Texas A&M University | TRIUME | <u>U. British Columbia</u> |
| U. California, Berkeley U. Colorado Denver U. Evansville U. Florida Image: Associate members U. Montréal U. Minnesota U. South Dakota U. Toronto | | Cal | F | EST 1834 | UF | |
| *Associate members U. Montréal U. Minnesota U. South Dakota U. Toronto | | <u>U. California, Berkeley</u> | <u>U. Colorado Denver</u> | <u>U. Evansville</u> | <u>U. Florida</u> | |
| *Associate members <u>U. Montréal</u> <u>U. Minnesota</u> <u>U. South Dakota</u> <u>U. Toronto</u> | | h | | SOUTH DAKOTA | | |
| | * Associate members | <u>U. Montréal</u> | <u>U. Minnesota</u> | <u>U. South Dakota</u> | <u>U.Toronto</u> | |

05/31/2018 - KITP-CDM18 - Jodi Cooley - SMU

⁺The SMU SuperCDMS group is supported by the NSF under grant number 1151869.

Historically: SuperCDMS in a Nutshell*

Use a combination of **discrimination** and **shielding** to maintain a **"<I event expected background"** experiment with **low temperature** semiconductor detectors



Discrimination from measurements of ionization and phonon energy and charge distributions



Keep backgrounds low as possible through shielding and material selection.

Overview: SuperCDMS Soudan



Phonon sensor layout:



- Location: Soudan Underground Laboratory, Minnesota, USA @ ~2090 mwe
- Science operations from Mar. 2012 late 2015.
- Experiment contains 15 iZIP detectors, stacked into 5 towers
 - interleaved Z-sensitive Ionization and Phonon detectors (iZIP)
- Each side instrumented with 2 charge (inner + outer) & 4 phonon (1 inner + 3 outer) sensors









4 SQUID readout channels, each reads out 1036 TES in parallel



SCDMS iZIPs: C

Bulk Events:

Equal but opposite ionization signal appears on both faces of detector (symmetric) **Surface Events:**

Ionization signal appears on one detector face (asymmetric)





iZIP Discrimination



- misID < 1.7 x 10⁻⁵ @90% C.L.
- Allows an ~100 kg experiment run for 5 years at SNOLAB with less than 1 event background.

Backgrounds





Shielding: Peel the Onion

Active Muon Veto:

rejects events from cosmic rays

Polyethyene: moderate neutrons from fission decays and (α,n) interactions **Pb:** shielding from gammas resulting from radioactivity **Ancient Pb:** shields ²¹⁰Pb betas

Polyethyene: shields ancient Pb

Cu: radio-pure inner copper can

Ge: target



Soudan High Threshold Analysis Limit



*CDMSlite: A Low Ionization Experiment

- CDMSlite uses Neganov-Luke amplification to obtain low thresholds with high-resolution
 - Ionization only, uses phonon instrumentation to measure ionization
 - No event-by- event discrimination of nuclear recoils
- Drifting electrons across a potential (V) generates a large number of phonons (Luke phonons).





Aside: keVee vs keVnr

Ionization energy vs recoil energy assuming NR scale consistent with Luke phonon contributions for NR.



CDMSlite Data



- Run 1: Aug. Sept. 2012 [PRL 112, 041302, 2014]
- Run 2 (period 1): Feb. July 2014 /// [PRL 116, 071301,2016]
- Run 2 (period 2): Sept. Nov. 2014 -
- Run 3: Feb. May 2015 (analysis ongoing)



- ⁷¹Ge activation peaks are visible in both Runs 1 & 2.
- ⁶⁵Zn K-shell electron capture peak visible in Run 1.
- Run 1 threshold 170 eV_{ee}
- Run 2 (period 1) threshold 75, (period 2) 56 eV_{ee}

CDMSlite Results



SuperCDMS SNOLAB

SuperCDMS Layout in SNOLAB



From Soudan to SNOLAB



SuperCDMS SNOLAB Towers

Improved Surface Event Rejection:

- Lower operating temperature gives us improved phonon resolution
- Improved charge resolution with HEMT readout
- Improved phonon resolution + more phonon channels + improved charge resolution
 - improved fiducialization
 - better surface event rejection







SuperCDMS SNOLAB



Fridge, cryostat capable of 31 towers, nominal 15 mK



In most cases, looking for materials at levels of < 1 ppb.

Community Assays Database

Use Clean Materials

| | radiopurity.org Community Material Assay Database | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|--------|----------|---------------|-------|--------------|--|----|--|--|
| | Search | Submit | Settings | About | | | | | | |
| | copper | | | | Q | | | | | |
| ▶ EXO (2008) | Copper, OFRP, Norddeutsche Affine | rie | Th | < 2.4 ppt | U | < 2.9 ppt | | × | | |
| ▶ EXO (2008) | Copper tubing, Metallica SA | | Th | < 2 ppt | U | < 1.5 ppt | | 95 | | |
| ▶ ILIAS ROSEBUD | Copper, OFHC | | | | | | | ж | | |
| XENON100 (2011) | Copper, Norddeutsche Affinerie | | Th-228 | 21() muBq/kg | U-238 | 70() muBq/kg | | x | | |
| ▹ XENON100 (2011) | Copper, Norddeutsche Affiinerie | | Th-228 | < 0.33 mBq/kg | U-238 | < 11 mBq/kg | | 26 | | |
| ⊨ EXO (2008) | Copper gasket, Serto | | Th | 5.9() ppt | U | 12.6() ppt | | × | | |
| EXO (2008) | Copper wire, McMaster-Carr | | Th | < 77 ppt | U | < 270 ppt | | ж | | |

http://radiopurity.org

Supported by AARM, LBNL, MAJORANA, SMU, SJTU & others

Background Inventory

Predicted rates in counts / kg*keVr*year

| Cutanan | Co HV ED-look | SI UV ED. i.u.l C | Ge iZIP NRsingles Si iZIP NRsingles | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Category | Ge HV EKsingles | STHV EKSligies (| e izir EKsingles | SI IZIF EKsingles | (x10 ⁻⁶) | (x10 ⁻⁶) |
| -Total | 48. | 360. | 50. | 400. | 3200. | 2300. |
| Coherent Neutrinos | | | | | 2300. | 1600. |
| Detector Internal Contamination | . 24. | 280. | 4.7 | 250. | 0 | 0 |
| Tritium | 24. | 33. | 4.7 | 6.6 | 0 | 0 |
| Silicon-32 | 0 | 250. | 0 | 250. | 0 | 0 |
| Other | | | | | | |
| Material Internal Contamination | ı 17. | 66. | 36. | 120. | 370. | 460. |
| +Housing and Towers | 6.5 | 34. | 19. | 65. | 51. | 66. |
| Readout Cables | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.80 | 11. | 15. |
| +SNOBOX Cans | 4.0 | 13. | 6.5 | 22. | 68. | 75. |
| Kevlar Ropes | 2.1 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 8.3 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| Calibration | 0.92 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Shield Materials | 3.5 | 10. | 5.3 | 17. | 240. | 300. |
| Bulk Pb-210 in Lead | 0.07 | D | 0.22 | 0.75 | | |
| -Material Internal Activation | 2.3 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 13. | | |
| Housing and Towers | 0.64 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 4.1 | | |
| +SNOBOX | 1.5 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 8.9 | | |
| Shield | 0.07 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.41 | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| Non-line-of-sight Surfaces | 1.6 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 9.3 | 35. | 41. |
| Prompt Interstitial Radon | 0.61 | 1.8 | 0.87 | 2.7 | | |
| +Cavern Environment | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 9.6 | 330. | 160. |
| Cosmic Ray Flux | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 85. | 99. |

Simulated Raw Background Spectra

HV - Ge Detectors



Simulated Raw Background Spectra

iZIP - Ge Detectors



Expected Sensitivities



Expected Sensitivities



Conclusions

- -CDMSlite Run 2 has produced world leading limits in the search for low mass WIMPs. It excludes parameter space for WIMPs with masses between 1.6 and 5.5 GeV/c².
- With an exposure of 1690 kg days, a single candidate event is observed, consistent with expected backgrounds. The SuperCDMS collaboration sets a combined upper limit on the spin-independent WIMP-nucleon cross section of 1.4×10^{-44} (1.0×10^{-44}) cm² at 46 GeV/c² which are the strongest limits for WIMP- germaniumnucleus interactions for masses >12 GeV/c².
- Plans for a SuperCDMS SNOLAB experiment are well underway. Background estimations and mitigation plans are in place. When built the SuperCDMS SNOLAB experiment will have unprecedented sensitivity to low mass WIMPs.