

Ultrashort Duration Radiation from Ultra-Intense Laser-Matter Interactions

Donald Umstadter

Motivation and Perspective

- Some ultrafast applications would benefit from a greater number of photons (e.g., 2γ processes) or higher photon energy (e.g., diffraction).
- Plasma is not limited by breakdown in high fields.
 - Relativistic nonlinear optics
 - Acceleration of electrons to 100-MeV/mm
- Compact 100-TW laser operating at 10-Hz

25-fs pulse duration 3.5-J energy per pulse 140-TW peak power 10-Hz repetition rate 4'

15'

2.2 J

140 TW

5.5 J

EXTREME LIGHT LABORATORY

DICLES

Outline

- Ultrashort light pulses from relativistic cross-phase modulation in plasma
- Slicing electron beams with light to produce ultrashort electron pulses.
- The role of longitudinal fields of focused light >>> a novel laser field model.
- Methods for generating ultra-short x-ray pulses by means of laser light and plasma.

Generation of ultrashort light pulses from relativistic cross-phase modulation in plasma







Nonlinear-index coefficient n_2 in relativistic plasma

Refractive Index of Plasma

$$n = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega_0^2}} \approx 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{2\omega_0^2} \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_p^2 = \frac{4\pi n_e e^2}{m_e \gamma} \quad \text{of the electron in a laser field}$$

For a linear polarized laser

 $\gamma = \sqrt{1 + \frac{a^2}{2}} \approx 1 + \frac{a^2}{4} \quad \text{where} \quad a = 8.5 \times 10^{-10} \,\lambda [\,\mu\text{m}]I^{1/2} [\,\text{Wcm}^{-2}\,]$ is the normalized vector potential

• Further simplification of n $n \approx 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{2\omega_p^2} (1 - \frac{a^2}{4}) = n_1 + n_2 I$ where

$$n_2 = \frac{\omega_p^2}{8\omega_0^2} (8.5 \times 10^{-10} \,\lambda[\,\mu\text{m}])^2$$

а

Relativistic self-phase modulation

In a nonlinear medium

 $\widetilde{n} = (n + n_2 I)$ $\phi = \widetilde{n}k_0 z - \omega_0 t = (n + n_2 I)k_0 z - \omega_0 t$

Frequency Chirp



Pulse Intensity $\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} > 0$ $\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} < 0$ **Red shift Blue Shift** Time **Self-phase modulation** Intensity Frequency

Cross-Phase Modulation (XPM)

For two optical pulses with different frequencies



 $\phi_{1nl} = n_2 k_0 z (I_1^2 + 2I_2^2)$ For $I_1 >> I_2$, one pulse is modulated by another pulse (XPM)

> SPM XPM



Experimental Setup



400 fs, 1 um wavelength, 0.45 TW to 3.2 TW

Comparison shows good qualitative agreement between analytical and experimental results



S. Chen et al., submitted for publication

Generation of ultra-short high power pulse by RXPM compression

 $\Delta \omega / \omega$



Pump pulse parameters: Power 10 TW Pulse duration 100 fs Propagation distance 2 mm Wavelength 800 nm Focus radius 6 μm

Probe pulse parameters Power variable (up to 2 TW) Pulse duration 30 fs Wavelength 533 nm

For a Fourier transform limited pulse $\tau = \frac{2\ln(2)\lambda^2}{\pi\Delta\lambda c}$

After compression $\tau=4~5$ fs Assuming the input pulse is 50 mJ, the final power is **10 TW**

Outline

- Ultrashort light pulses from relativistic cross phase modulation in plasma
- Slicing electron beams with light to produce ultrashort electron pulses.
- The role of longitudinal fields of focused light >>> a novel laser field model.
- Methods for generating ultra-short x-ray pulses by means of laser light and plasma.

Motivation for this research

• Problem:

- Because of space-charge broadening, low-energy e-beams from laser-triggered photocathodes have limited charge and relatively long duration.
- Because of nonlinearity, high-energy electrons from wakefields lack controllable energy spreads.
- Solution
 - Ultra-intense laser pulses can optically select near-monochromatic femtosecond MeV electron bunches without space-charge broadening.

Low-angular divergence of laserwakefield electron beam





•J. Faure et al., Nature **431**, 541 (2004) •C.G. R. Geddes et al., Nature 431, 538 (2004)



Laser-driven electrons: large energy spread



Laser light pushes electrons longitudinally, driving wakefield plasma waves



Electrons accelerated to high energy in relativistically moving wakefield



$$\nabla \cdot E \sim k_p E = \frac{\omega_p}{c} E \sim \sqrt{n_e} E \sim n_e$$
$$\Rightarrow E \sim \sqrt{n_e} \text{ eV/cm}$$

$$\therefore n_e = 10^{18} / \text{cm}^3 \Longrightarrow E \sim 1 \text{ GeV/cm}$$

$$v_{\phi}^{es} \sim v_{g}^{em} \sim c \left(1 - \frac{n_{e}}{2n_{c}}\right) \sim c$$



Can High Intensity Lasers Produce Robust, Controllable MeV-Energy, Femtosecond Electron Bunches?



Observation of Ponderomotive Deflection



2-beam, zero delay



Cross-correlation of laser wakefield accelerated electron beam

Analysis predicts observed deflection



Perturbed by incident laser $\theta \rightarrow \theta + \theta_{\rm D}(\gamma)$

Convolution of angle and energy is used to obtain the angular profile of the electron beam when acted on by the second laser pulse

Banerjee et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 035004 (2005)

Agreement requires inclusion of longitudinal fields



Including the first nonparaxial term $[E_z/B_z]$ reproduces the experimentally observed result. The paraxial result shows no deflection.

$$\frac{E_z}{E_x} \sim \frac{B_z}{B_y} \sim 0.1$$



S. Sepke and D. Umstadter, Opt. Lett. 31, 1447 (2006).

Simulations of Beam Conditioning

τ

 $\Delta \tau_{e}$

6 μm

LANEX

 $\Delta \tau_{I}$



Input Parameters

Laser	Electrons
$\Delta \tau_{\rm I} = 30 \text{ fs}$	$\Delta \tau_{e} = 30 \text{ fs}$
w ₀ = 10 μm	D = 6 μm
$a_0 = [2.5, 5.625]$	E ∈ [100, 7000] keV
$\lambda_0 = 1 \ \mu m$	∆E = 100 keV
$\xi = 90^{\circ}$	Δy = 1.5 μm
ε = 0.0159	T _e = 18 MeV
	2x10 ⁶ electrons

Imaging the Conditioned Electrons: 1.2--MeV Electron Beam

To analyze the resulting electron beam, the particles are tallied on a simulated LANEX. The signal is proportional to the number of actual electrons striking the screen.

$$f(E,t) = exp\left(-\frac{(t-\tau)^2}{\Delta \tau_e^2}\right) \left\{ exp\left(-\frac{E-\Delta E/2}{T_e}\right) - exp\left(-\frac{E+\Delta E/2}{T_e}\right) \right\}$$

Each simulation particles carries the energy distribution function: $T_e=18 \text{ MeV}$

 a_0 =2.5, E \in [0.5, 1.5] MeV, 3.5° to 8.5°



Summary of Beam Conditioning Simulations

- Best conditioned electron beams are produced when the laser and electron durations are matched.
- Maximum deflection occurs when the electron bunch collides with the centre of the laser pulse: $\tau = -10$ fs.

a_0	$E \pm \Delta E$ (MeV)	e⁻ (10 ⁸)*
2.5	1.20±0.45	9.02
5.6	2.35±0.45	7.03

*Initial electron beam – 5 nC

Outline

- Ultrashort light pulses from relativistic cross phase modulation in plasma
- Slicing electron beams with light to produce ultrashort electron pulses.
- The role of longitudinal fields of focused light >>> a novel laser field model.
- Methods for generating ultra-short x-ray pulses by means of laser light and plasma.

Exact Solutions for the Fields of Few-Cycle, Focused Laser Pulses



The Focal Plane Laser Fields

•Detailed vector model using the angular spectrum of plane waves

•Two complimentary solutions allowing for tight and loose focusing and all pulse durations.

•Save 3-4 orders of magnitude in computation time.

•Few-cycle pulses broaden the laser focus and decrease the energy in the nominal focus.



Outline

- Ultrashort light pulses from relativistic cross phase modulation in plasma
- Slicing electron beams with light to produce ultrashort electron pulses.
- The role of longitudinal fields of focused light >>> a novel laser field model.
- Methods for generating ultra-short x-ray pulses by means of laser light and plasma.

Single-Pulse Drives Electron Betatron Oscillations







A Well-Collimated Beam of keV-Energy X-Rays are Emitted



10¹⁰ photons/shot in a collimated beam

A. Rousse et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 135005 (2004).

Replacing Magnets with Light Provides Micron-Wavelength Wiggler



Angular distribution : implies emission by a laseraccelerated electron beam oscillating in a laser wiggler









